
IFRS[®] Foundation



The views expressed in this presentation are those of the presenter, not necessarily those of the International Accounting Standards Board or IFRS Foundation.

Copyright © 2020 IFRS Foundation. All rights reserved.

Discussion Paper

Business Combinations— Disclosures, Goodwill and Impairment

> Introducing the Discussion Paper 05 June 2020



Before we start

Housekeeping

The views expressed are those of the presenters, not necessarily those of the International Accounting Standard Board (Board) or the IFRS Foundation.

The full text of the Discussion Paper is available at <u>https://cdn.ifrs.org/-</u> /media/project/goodwill-and-impairment/goodwill-and-impairment-dp-march-2020.pdf.

For a summary of the Discussion Paper, you may refer to the project snapshot at <u>https://cdn.ifrs.org/-/media/project/goodwill-and-impairment/goodwill-impairment-dp-snapshot.pdf</u>.

To ask a question during the webinar, type it into the designated text box on your screen and click 'submit'. You can submit questions at any time during the presentation. We'll try to answer them at the end of the presentation.



The Discussion Paper



* IFRS 3 introduced the impairment-only approach and replaced IAS 22 which required amortisation.



The Board's preliminary views

1	Improving disclosures about acquisitions	 Require companies to disclose: management's objectives for acquisitions; and how acquisitions have performed against those objectives subsequently. Some targeted improvements to existing disclosures. 	
2	Improving the accounting for goodwill	A Can the impairment test be made more effective?	Not significantly, and not at a reasonable cost.
		B Should goodwill be amortised?	No, retain the impairment-only model.
		Can the impairment test be simplified?	Yes, provide relief from the annual impairment test and simplify value in use.
3	Other topics	 Present on the balance sheet the amount of total equity excluding goodwill. Do not change recognition of intangible assets separately from goodwill. 	

BIFRS

4

Improving disclosures about acquisitions

What is the issue?

Board's preliminary view: require disclosures

Investors do not get enough information about acquisitions and their subsequent performance

- Such information would allow investors to hold management to account (stewardship).
- IFRS Standards do not specifically require companies to disclose information about the subsequent performance of acquisitions.

At the acquisition date:



- Strategic rationale for acquisition
- Objectives for the acquisition
- Metrics for monitoring achievement of objectives

After the acquisition date:



Performance against objectives



Improving disclosures about acquisitions

Board's preliminary view: Companies should disclose information management uses internally to monitor acquisitions

What metrics should be disclosed?

- No single metric suitable, because business combinations are all different
- Management approach:
 - Less costly to produce
 - Insights into how management manages acquisitions
- Can be operational or financial metrics
- Might be information about combined business where integration occurs

Should all material acquisitions be disclosed?

- Disclosure of all material acquisitions could be onerous for serial acquirers
- Preliminary view: define 'management' as 'chief operating decision maker' (CODM) (IFRS 8 Operating Segments)
- Are these the acquisitions that investors would like to know more about?



Further improvements to IFRS 3 disclosures

Message from stakeholders

information is important.

• Synergies are often an important part of an Require companies to disclose in the year of Expected acquisition. acquisition the amount, or range of amounts, synergies Help investors better understand the factors of synergies expected from an acquisition. that contributed to the acquisition price. Some investors consider these liabilities to Require companies to disclose the amount Defined benefit pension form part of the capital employed for of defined benefit pension liabilities and debt liabilities & acquisitions. of the acquiree at the acquisition date, debt • Needed to assess return on capital employed. separately from other classes of liabilities. • Existing disclosure requirements lack Require companies to disclose both actual guidance, resulting in diversity in practice. Pro-forma and pro-forma revenue, operating profit Preparers guestion the usefulness of the information and cash flows from operating activities. information, while investors think that the



Preliminary view of the Board

Improving the accounting for goodwill

What are the issues?

Research undertaken by the Board



Impairment losses on goodwill are recognised too late

Could be due to:

- too optimistic cash flow estimates; or
- shielding of goodwill from impairment by headroom (see next slide)



The impairment test is complex and costly for companies



Can the impairment test be made more effective?




Should goodwill be amortised?



Can the impairment test be simplified?



Background—shielding



 \rightarrow impairment loss

- \rightarrow combined business is tested for impairment
- \rightarrow no impairment loss

2 (a) Can the impairment test be made more effective?

Board's preliminary view

No feasible alternative test

- It is not feasible to make the impairment test for goodwill significantly more effective at a reasonable cost to companies.
- Shielding cannot be eliminated because goodwill has to be tested for impairment with other assets.

• The test cannot always signal how an acquisition is performing, but that does not mean that the test has failed.

The test is not intended to

test goodwill directly

• When performed well, the test ensures that the carrying amount of the CGU as a whole is recoverable.

Disclosure solution

The disclosure requirements discussed on slides 5–6 could provide information that investors need about the performance of acquisitions.



B Amortisation of Goodwill vs Impairment-only

Amortising goodwill	Retaining the impairment-only model
some say	others say
Goodwill is overstated, so management is not held to account.	The impairment-only model provides useful confirmatory information to investors.
Amortisation is simple and targets acquired goodwill directly.	Amortisation is arbitrary and would be ignored by many investors.
The impairment test is not working as well as the Board intended.	If applied well, the impairment test works as the Board intended, ensuring that, as a group, goodwill and other assets of a business are not overstated.
Goodwill is a wasting asset. Amortisation is the only way to show the consumption of goodwill.	The benefits of goodwill are maintained for an indefinite period, so goodwill is not a wasting asset.
Amortisation would ultimately make the impairment test easier and less costly to apply.	Amortisation would not significantly reduce the cost of impairment testing, especially in the first few years.



2B Amortisation of Goodwill vs Impairment-only

12

Board's preliminary view

There is no compelling evidence that amortisation would significantly improve financial reporting



Retain the impairmentonly approach



The Board majority was small. Stakeholders are invited to provide new arguments to help the Board decide how to move forward on this topic.



20 Simplifying the impairment test

Relief from an annual impairment test



Having to perform the test annually, even when they have no reason to suspect an impairment has occurred, adds unnecessary cost.

- Remove requirement to test CGUs containing goodwill for impairment at least annually.
- Companies must still assess whether there is any indicator of impairment, and perform the impairment test if there is.

Simplifying value in use estimates



IAS 36 contains certain restrictions on value in use that add cost and complexity to the test, and deviates from common industry practice.

- Remove restriction on including some cash flows in value in use estimates.
- Cash flow forecasts still need to be reasonable and supportable.
- Allow use of post-tax discount rates and post-tax cash flows.



3 Other topics

Presenting total equity before goodwill	Intangible assets
Goodwill is different from other assets because it: • can only be measured indirectly; and • cannot be sold separately.	Some believe that recognising these assets separately helps explain what the company has bought in an acquisition. Others think that the information is of limited use.
Presenting total equity excluding goodwill on the balance sheet helps to make this amount more prominent, drawing investors' attention to companies whose goodwill constitutes a significant portion of their net assets.	 In the Board's view: there is no compelling evidence to change existing requirement; and aligning the accounting treatment for all intangible assets is beyond the scope of this project.



A balanced package

15

Further information

The deadline for comments on the Discussion Paper is **31 December 2020**. The deadline has changed to 31 December 2020 because of the covid-19 pandemic; previously it was 15 September 2020.

For further information on the project and the Discussion Paper please visit <u>www.ifrs.org/projects/work-plan/goodwill-and-impairment/</u>.



Get involved



