

---

## IFRS Interpretations Committee meeting

Date	11 June 2024
Project	<b>Business Combinations—Disclosures, Goodwill and Impairment</b>
Topic	<b>Exposure Draft outreach</b>
Contacts	<b>Vikash Kalidas</b> ( <a href="mailto:vkalidas@ifrs.org">vkalidas@ifrs.org</a> )

This paper has been prepared for discussion at a public meeting of the IFRS Interpretations Committee (Committee). This paper does not represent the views of the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB), the Committee or any individual member of the IASB or the Committee. Any comments in the paper do not purport to set out what would be an acceptable or unacceptable application of IFRS<sup>®</sup> Accounting Standards. The IASB's technical decisions are made in public and are reported in the IASB<sup>®</sup> *Update*. The Committee's technical decisions are made in public and are reported in IFRIC<sup>®</sup> *Update*.

---

## Purpose of this session

To seek IFRS Interpretations Committee (Committee) members' views on the following aspects of the IASB's proposals included in the Exposure Draft *Business Combinations—Disclosures, Goodwill and Impairment*:

- Identifying strategic business combinations
- Exemption
- Impairment test

Slides 5–9 detail the questions that we would like Committee members' feedback on.

---

---


# Information for Committee members

<b>Background</b>	10-15
<b>Improving disclosures about business combinations</b>	16-29
<b>Proposed changes to the impairment test</b>	30-35

---

---

# Questions



---

## Identifying strategic business combinations (slide 22)

We would like to understand whether the IASB has identified the appropriate quantitative and qualitative thresholds to identify a strategic business combination.

1

- a) Do you agree with the threshold approach?
  - b) Based on your experience, do you think the proposed thresholds would appropriately capture what you view as being strategic business combinations? If not, what additional or different quantitative or qualitative thresholds would you suggest considering?
-

---

## Exemption (slide 24)

We would like to understand if the proposed exemption can be applied in—and only in—those circumstances for which it is appropriate. In particular, we would like to understand:

**2**

- a) Would the exemption address preparers' concerns with disclosing the information in financial statements? If not, why and what changes would you suggest to the principle and/or application guidance of the proposed exemption?
  - b) Are there situations in which the proposed exemption might be applied but which you view as inappropriate?
-

---

## Disclosure proposals - overall

**3**

In your view, would the proposals to improve disclosures about business combinations meet investors need for better information while appropriately considering the costs of disclosing that information?

---

---

## Restructuring and asset enhancement cash flows (slide 35)

The IASB is proposing to remove the restriction on including cashflows from uncommitted future restructuring and asset enhancements.

4

- a) Do you think the change would help reduce the complexity of the impairment test?
  - b) Can you give examples of additional cash flows that would be included applying the proposals?
-



---

# Impairment test


5

Do you have comments or concerns about the other proposed changes to the impairment test? Do you think the proposed changes to IAS 36 would improve the effectiveness and reduce the cost and complexity of the test?

---

---

# Background



---

# Summary of the Exposure Draft

## Objective

- Improve information entities provide about their business combinations at a reasonable cost

## Package of proposals

- A package of improved disclosure requirements in IFRS 3 *Business Combinations*
- Changes to the impairment test of cash-generating units containing goodwill in IAS 36 *Impairment of Assets*


## Comment period

- Comments requested by 15 July 2024

## **Better information for better decisions**

– increases transparency and usefulness of information

# Project history



# Why is the IASB publishing an Exposure Draft?

## High value transactions

- Acquisitions—referred to as ‘business combinations’ in IFRS Standards— are often large transactions for the entities involved
- These transactions play a central role in the global economy. For example, deals announced in 2023 totalled \$3.2 trillion<sup>1</sup>



### Information about acquisition’s performance

Investors receive insufficient information about an acquisition’s performance – investors sometimes use information from impairment test as a proxy to assess an acquisition’s success



### Impairment test

Impairment losses on goodwill sometimes recognised too late.

Impairment test complex and costly

---

# Package of proposals

## Proposed changes to IFRS 3

- Disclose information used by key management personnel about performance of **strategic business combinations**
  - Key objectives, targets in year of acquisition
  - Performance against key objectives, targets in subsequent periods
- Other improvements to existing disclosures, including disclosing quantitative information about expected synergies
- **Exempt** an entity from disclosing some information in specific circumstances

## Proposed changes to IAS 36

- Clarify how an entity allocates goodwill to cash-generating units (CGU)
- Require entities to disclose which reportable segment contains a CGU
- Simplify value in use calculation

---

## How the package responds to concerns

### **Information about an acquisition's performance**


- Require an entity to disclose information about the performance of an acquisition
- Balance benefit and costs through targeting only strategic acquisitions and proposing an exemption

### **Concerns about the impairment test**

- Proposed disclosure requirements about performance of an acquisition reduce reliance on the impairment test
- Reduce shielding through clarifying how an entity allocates goodwill for testing
- Changes to calculation of value in use to reduce cost


---

## Improving disclosures about business combinations





# Summary of key disclosure proposals



\*Exemption available if requirements are met

---

## Key disclosure proposals



Performance of business combinations



Quantitative information about expected synergies



Exemption

## Stakeholder feedback leading to proposals

Acquisitions are a large and risky use of capital. Investors need better information to help assess:

- the price paid;
- why management paid that price; and
- subsequently, whether the acquisition is meeting management's expectations

Some investors use impairment losses on goodwill as a signal of an unsuccessful acquisition but sometimes impairment losses are recognised too late



Investors

Information about the performance of acquisitions and information about expected synergies could be commercially sensitive and forward-looking.

Companies should not be required to disclose this information in financial statements.




Preparers

# Performance of business combinations



Information to be disclosed

- **Key objectives** and **targets**
- **Performance** against key objectives and targets



Population of business combinations

**Strategic** business combinations



Exemption

Applied to some items of **commercially sensitive** information

---

# Information to be disclosed

## What information?

- Key objectives and targets for a business combination
- Subsequent performance against those key objectives and targets

Disclosure based on information reviewed by an entity's **key management personnel**

## For how long?

Information required for **as long as** key management personnel **review the performance of that business combination**

Additional information required if:

- key management personnel **do not start** reviewing the performance of a business combination
- key management personnel **stop** reviewing the performance of a business combination before the end of the second year after the year of acquisition

---

# Strategic business combinations

Business combinations for which failure to meet any one of an entity's key objectives would put the entity at serious risk of failing to achieve its strategy for maintaining or developing the entity's business model.

A business combination meeting any one of proposed thresholds would be considered a strategic business combination.

---

## Quantitative thresholds

**Revenue, operating profit or assets (including goodwill)** of acquired business constitutes at least 10% of the acquirer's comparative amounts

## Qualitative thresholds

Business combination results in entity entering a **new major line of business or geographical area of operation**


# Expected synergies



## Information to be disclosed

In year of acquisition only, information aggregated by category about:

- Expected **synergies**
- **Cost** to achieve synergies
- Expected **timeframe**



## Population of business combinations

All '**material**' business combinations



## Exemption

Applied to some items of **commercially sensitive** information

# Exemption



## Principle

An entity may be exempted from disclosing some information if doing so can be expected to prejudice seriously an entity's objective for a business combination



## Application guidance

For example:

- disclosing the reason for applying the exemption for each item of information
- factors to consider in identifying the appropriate circumstances for applying the exemption



## Responds to preparer concerns

In particular, concerns about commercial sensitivity and some concerns about forward-looking information



---

# Other proposed amendments to disclosure requirements in IFRS 3

## **Disclosure objectives**

New disclosure objectives would require an entity to disclose:

- the benefits an entity expects from a business combination when agreeing the price to acquire a business; and
- for a strategic business combination, the extent to which the benefits an entity expects from the business combination are being met

## **Strategic rationale**

Replacing the requirement for entities to disclose the primary reasons for a business combination with a requirement to disclose the strategic rationale for the business combination

---

# Strategic business combination—Acquisition-date information

## **Strategic rationale**

As a result of the acquisition, AC expects to be the leading provider of data networking products and services in Canada and Mexico, contributing to AC's strategy of being the leading provider of data networking products and services in North America. AC also expects to reduce costs through economies of scale.

This section illustrates only some of the new disclosures that would be required applying the proposed amendments. An entity would also be required to disclose other information about the business combinations that is required by IFRS 3.

---

## Strategic business combination—Acquisition-date information

### **Key objectives and related targets**

AC plans to integrate TC into its North American operations. In line with AC's strategy, management's key objectives and related targets for this business combination are:

- to increase annual revenue and profit of AC's North American operations by 45% and 40% respectively by 20X4 (compared to 20X1).
- to launch product X by 20X4
- to increase AC's market share in North America to approximately 20% by 20X4 (from approximately 15% in 20X1).

An entity might be exempt from disclosing information about a key objective and related target (for example, the key objective to launch product X by 20X4) if it determines that disclosing that information before the product is launched would prejudice seriously the achievement of the objective.

---

# Strategic business combination—Subsequent periods

## **Actual performance**

For the financial period ended 31 December 20X2, AC increased:

- its annual revenue by 20% and profit by 18% for the North American operations; and
- its market share to approximately 16% in North America.

Performance to date is in line with expectation.\*

\* An entity might be exempt from disclosing this information in some situations.

---

## Synergies—Acquisition-date information

### **Expected synergies**

The business combination is expected to generate recurring annual revenue synergies of CU80–CU100 and recurring annual cost synergies of CU100–CU125.

### **Cost to achieve synergies**

The costs to achieve these synergies are expected to include recurring costs of CU15 to achieve the revenue synergies and a one-off cost of CU75 to achieve the cost synergies.


### **Expected timeframe**

Management expects the benefit of the revenue synergies to start from 20X4 and the benefit from the cost synergies to be fully realised by 20X3.

Disclosure of expected synergies is only required at the acquisition date. An entity might be exempt from disclosing information about synergies in some situations.

---

## Proposed changes to the impairment test



---

# Proposals



## Effectiveness of the impairment test

Proposals to reduce:

- **shielding**; and
- **management over-optimism**



## Cost and complexity of the impairment test

Changes to the calculation of **value in use**

The IASB decided not to reintroduce amortisation for subsequent accounting of goodwill.

# Effectiveness of the impairment test



Impairment losses on goodwill sometimes recognised too late.  
Investors can't tell whether a business combination is a success until too late

Could be the result of:

- shielding; or
- over optimistic assumptions in cash flow estimates.

The IASB is not proposing a new impairment test

However, the IASB is proposing amendments to the impairment test to address some of the reasons for this concern



- The impairment test is designed to assess the recoverability of assets in CGUs containing goodwill, not the success of a business combination.
- The IASB's disclosure proposals would more directly provide information investors try to get from the impairment test




---

# Reducing shielding

Proposed clarifications to how an entity allocates goodwill to cash-generating units including:

- Changing reference to ‘goodwill is monitored’ with ‘**business associated with the goodwill** is monitored’
- Clarifying that allocating goodwill no higher than an operating segment is a **safeguard** and not a default
- Clarifying why an entity might allocate goodwill to a **group of CGUs** rather than individual CGUs



---

## Reducing management over-optimism

Disclose in which reportable segment a CGU containing goodwill is included

Better links the current disclosure of assumptions used in the impairment test to segment information

Example:

Segment	CGU	Value of goodwill
A	CGU 1	CU175
	Group of CGUs X	CU300
B	CGU 2	CU250

---

# Cost and complexity of the impairment test



The impairment test is complex and costly

The IASB proposes targeted improvements to calculation of value in use including:

- removing restriction on including cash flows from uncommitted future restructurings or asset enhancements
  - allowing use of post-tax discount rates and post-tax cash flows
- removing restrictions brings impairment test closer to information used by management which should **reduce cost** for preparers and result in investors receiving more **relevant information**
  - there are sufficient other restrictions in IAS 36 on the cash flows a company can include in calculating value in use to ensure the **robustness** of the impairment test. For example, the requirements to:
    - base cash flow forecasts on management's budgets and forecasts; and
    - assess an asset in its current condition

---

Thank you

A large, abstract geometric pattern on the right side of the slide, consisting of interconnected white and dark blue hexagonal shapes on a dark blue background.

---

## Follow us online

 ifrs.org

 @IFRSFoundation

 IFRS Foundation

 International Sustainability  
Standards Board