

---

## SASB Standards International Applicability

Date	<b>April 2023</b>
Project	<b>International Applicability of the SASB Standards</b>
Topic	<b>Proposed Exposure Draft</b> <i>Methodology for Enhancing the International Applicability of the SASB Standards and SASB Standards Taxonomy Updates</i>
Contacts	<b>Corey Walrod</b> ( <a href="mailto:corey.walrod@ifrs.org">corey.walrod@ifrs.org</a> ) <b>Greg Waters</b> ( <a href="mailto:greg.waters@ifrs.org">greg.waters@ifrs.org</a> )

This paper has been prepared for discussion at a public meeting of the International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB). This paper does not represent the views of the ISSB or any individual ISSB member. Any comments in the paper do not purport to set out what would be an acceptable or unacceptable application of IFRS<sup>®</sup> Sustainability Disclosure Standards. The ISSB's technical decisions are made in public and are reported in the ISSB *Update*.

---

## **Exposure Draft**

### Methodology for Enhancing the International Applicability of the SASB<sup>®</sup> Standards and SASB Standards Taxonomy Updates

*Comments to be received by [xx Month] 2023*

Copyright page to be inserted here

METHODOLOGY FOR ENHANCING THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICABILITY OF THE SASB STANDARDS  
AND SASB STANDARDS TAXONOMY UPDATES

## CONTENTS

	<i>from page</i>
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>INVITATION TO COMMENT</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>PROPOSED METHODOLOGY FOR ENHANCING THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICABILITY OF THE SASB STANDARDS</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>OVERVIEW</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>SASB STANDARDS TAXONOMY UPDATES</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>NEXT STEPS</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	
<b>APPENDIX A—REVISION APPROACHES IN DETAIL</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>APPENDIX B—ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>APPENDIX C—SASB STANDARDS INDUSTRY REVISIONS EXAMPLES</b>	<b>21</b>

[Draft] *Methodology for Enhancing the International Applicability of the SASB Standards and SASB Standards Taxonomy Updates* is set out in paragraphs [1-19] and Appendices A–C.

## Introduction

---

### Why is the ISSB publishing this Exposure Draft?

- IN1 IFRS S1 *General Requirements for Disclosure of Sustainability-related Financial Information* (S1) will provide the general requirements for an entity to disclose sustainability-related financial information designed to meet the needs of global capital markets. This information will include the relevant risks and opportunities that reasonably could be expected to affect the entity's cash flows, its access to finance or cost of capital over the short, medium or long term. Entities will be required to consider the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) Standards in the absence of specific IFRS Sustainability Disclosure Standards to identify sustainability-related risks and opportunities and to develop appropriate disclosures. To support this, the International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB) has decided to review and consider amendments to metrics that include jurisdiction-specific content to ensure they are suitable for application by stakeholders internationally.
- IN2 This Exposure Draft details the methodology proposed by the ISSB for amending SASB Standards metrics to enhance their international applicability. This Exposure Draft also includes the proposed approach for updating the digital SASB Standards Taxonomy to reflect amendments made to the SASB Standards.
- IN3 The proposed scope for application of the methodology described in this exposure draft excludes all SASB Standards metrics included in Exposure Draft IFRS S2 *Climate-related Disclosures* (Draft S2) published in March 2022. Draft S2 proposed amendments to the climate-related metrics from the SASB Standards.

### Summary of proposed methodology

- IN4 The ISSB seeks to enhance the international applicability of non-climate-related metrics in the SASB Standards. Such enhancements will preserve the structure, completeness and intent of SASB Standards. The enhancements are designed to ensure that entities can apply the SASB Standards regardless of the jurisdiction in which they operate or the type of generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) they apply.
- IN5 The proposed methodology builds on the approaches used to improve the international applicability of the industry-based climate-related disclosures developed in Appendix B of Draft S2 and described in the associated Basis for Conclusions. That methodology has been refined based on feedback received on Draft S2. However, the methodology and associated ISSB deliberations described in this Exposure Draft are separate from the ISSB discussions regarding climate-specific disclosures in Draft S2. As agreed when redeliberating Draft S2, the ISSB will continue to consider the international applicability of industry-specific disclosures in S2 as part of its future work.

## Summary of SASB Standards Taxonomy updates

- IN6 The SASB Standards Taxonomy allows preparers to digitally tag information disclosed when applying the SASB Standards. This digital tagging makes the information disclosed machine-readable so that users of general purpose financial reports can collect, use and compare entity-reported information more easily.
- IN 7 Since the SASB Standards Taxonomy reflects the SASB Standards' content, any amendments to that content require consideration of corresponding updates to the SASB Standards Taxonomy. The proposed methodology in this Exposure Draft will allow the SASB Standards Taxonomy to be updated to align with all amendments to the SASB Standards.

## Due process provisions applicable to the Exposure Draft

- IN8 The ISSB assumed responsibility for the maintenance and enhancement of the SASB Standards after the Value Reporting Foundation and IFRS Foundation (Foundation) were consolidated in August 2022. The SASB Standards will serve as an important source of guidance to help entities produce relevant and comparable disclosures when applying S1 in the absence of specific IFRS Sustainability Disclosure Standards.
- IN9 Because the Foundation had no directly applicable due process to oversee the maintenance and enhancement of the SASB Standards, the IFRS Foundation Trustees' Due Process Oversight Committee (DPOC) approved a process for the ISSB to amend the SASB Standards in October 2022. Built on concepts in the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) *Due Process Handbook*, the review process for developing IASB education material and the IASB ratification process for the publication of final IFRIC Interpretations, that process is designed to balance efficiency and effectiveness when discussing and voting on proposed exposure drafts or amendments to the SASB Standards.
- IN10 The DPOC also agreed the ISSB could consult on the methodology to improve the SASB Standards' international applicability and then publish a draft of proposed amendments on the Foundation website for public review, rather than including all the proposed amendments in the Exposure Draft for public comment.
- IN11 In February 2023, the DPOC approved the due process proposed for updating the SASB Standards Taxonomy when amending the SASB Standards. Instead of publishing a proposed SASB Standards Taxonomy update description separately, the ISSB will include a discussion of the proposed taxonomy modelling approach in this Exposure Draft.

## Next steps

- IN12 During the public comment period for this Exposure Draft, the ISSB intends:
- (a) to hold discussions regarding both the proposed methodology to update non-climate-related SASB Standards metrics and specific metric amendments;
  - (b) to consult a broad range of stakeholders, with a focus on jurisdictions less familiar with the SASB Standards; and

(c) to consider feedback on this Exposure Draft and comments made during consultations to improve the proposed methodology and associated amendments to the SASB Standards.

IN13 The ISSB will consider the feedback on this Exposure Draft and will decide how to proceed with the proposed amendments to the SASB Standards and corresponding updates to the SASB Standards Taxonomy.

IN14 The ISSB plans to promptly issue any such amendments to the SASB Standards and the SASB Standards Taxonomy to facilitate implementation and application of S1.

## Invitation to comment

---

The ISSB invites comments on the proposals in this Exposure Draft, particularly regarding the questions raised in the Questions for respondents section. The ISSB is requesting comments only on matters discussed in this Exposure Draft. Comments are more helpful if they:

- (a) answer the questions as stated;
- (b) specify the paragraph(s) to which they relate;
- (c) contain a clear rationale; and
- (d) include any alternative the ISSB should consider, if applicable.

## Questions for respondents

### Question 1—Methodology objective

This Exposure Draft describes the proposed methodology to revise non-climate-related SASB Standards metrics to improve their international applicability when they contain a jurisdiction-specific reference.

- (a) Are the scope of the intended enhancements and the objective of the proposed methodology stated clearly in paragraph 8? If not, why not?
- (b) Are the constraints of the objective as listed in paragraph 8 (preserving structure and intent, decision-usefulness and cost-effectiveness) appropriate? Why or why not?
- (c) Should any other objective(s) or constraint(s) be considered in the proposed methodology? If so, what alternative or additional objective(s) or constraint(s) would you suggest including? How would these add value to the proposed methodology?

### Question 2—Overall methodology

This Exposure Draft explains the proposed methodology to amend the SASB Standards metrics to enhance their international applicability when they contain jurisdiction-specific references.

- (a) Do you agree that the proposed methodology would improve the international applicability of the SASB Standards metrics? If not, what alternative approach do you suggest and why?

### Question 3—Revision approaches

The Exposure Draft explains five revision approaches to enhance the international applicability of non-climate-related SASB Standards metrics. Every disclosure topic, metric and technical protocol amended using the methodology will apply these five revision approaches, either individually or in combination. The methodology



begins with Revision Approach 1, which uses internationally recognised frameworks and guidance to define relevant terms of reference.

- (a) Do you agree that replacing jurisdiction-specific references with internationally recognised frameworks and guidance—if identified—should be the first course of action? If not, why not?
- (b) If Revision Approach 1 is not feasible, do you agree that using the remaining four revision approaches would improve the international applicability of the SASB Standards? Why or why not?
- (c) Could the revised metrics resulting from any specific revision approaches or combination of approaches pose problems for the preparers applying them? Why or why not?
- (d) Do you agree with the outlined criteria to determine which of the proposed revision approaches applies in different circumstances? Why or why not? What changes would you recommend and why?

#### **Question 4—SASB Standards Taxonomy Update objective**

This Exposure Draft describes the proposed approach to updating the SASB Standards Taxonomy to reflect amendments to the SASB Standards.

- (a) Do you agree with the proposed methodology to update the SASB Standards Taxonomy to reflect changes to the SASB Standards? Why or why not? If you do not agree, what alternative approach would you recommend and why?

#### **Question 5—Future SASB Standards refinements**

This Exposure Draft focuses specifically on this first phase of narrow-scope work to amend the SASB Standards metrics in accordance with the proposed methodology to improve their international applicability when they contain jurisdiction-specific references. In subsequent phases, the ISSB will consider further enhancements to the SASB Standards to improve their decision-usefulness, balance their cost-effectiveness for preparers and ensure their international relevance.

- (a) What other methods, considerations or specific amendments would be useful to guide the ISSB's future work of refining the SASB Standards to support S1 application? Why would they be useful?
- (b) Do you have any specific comments or suggestions for the ISSB to consider in planning future enhancements to the SASB Standards?

METHODOLOGY FOR ENHANCING THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICABILITY OF THE SASB STANDARDS  
AND SASB STANDARDS TAXONOMY UPDATES

## Deadline

The ISSB will consider all written comments and responses to the survey received by [XX Month] 2023.

## How to comment

1. The ISSB encourages you to submit your comments electronically using the online survey:  
Survey [https://www.ifrs.org/projects/open-for-comment/\(survey\)](https://www.ifrs.org/projects/open-for-comment/(survey))
2. Alternatively, the ISSB will accept comment letters submitted electronically:  
Online [https://www.ifrs.org/projects/open-for-comment/\(comment letter\)](https://www.ifrs.org/projects/open-for-comment/(comment letter))  
Email [commentletters@ifrs.org](mailto:commentletters@ifrs.org)

Your comments will be on the public record and posted on our website unless you request confidentiality and we grant your request. We normally grant such requests only if they are supported by a good reason, like commercial confidence. Please see our website for details on this policy and how we use your personal data. If you would like to request confidentiality, please contact us at [commentletters@ifrs.org](mailto:commentletters@ifrs.org) before submitting your survey response or letter.

# Proposed methodology to enhance the international applicability of the SASB Standards

## Overview

---

- 1 Adopted by more than 2,500 of entities operating in over 70 jurisdictions, the SASB Standards consist of 77 industry-based sustainability-related disclosure standards. They include industry descriptions, disclosure topics and associated reporting metrics to help entities identify and disclose sustainability-related risks and opportunities that provide useful information for users of general purpose financial reports. The ISSB is responsible for the stewardship of the SASB Standards, including improving their international applicability.
- 2 The SASB Standards serve as a primary source of guidance for applying IFRS S1 *General Requirements for Disclosure of Sustainability-related Financial Information* (S1) to help entities produce relevant and comparable disclosures in the absence of specific IFRS Sustainability Disclosure Standards.
- 3 Some of the SASB Standards metrics' supporting guidance currently use definitions, terminology or references to jurisdiction-specific laws and regulations that can make that guidance difficult to apply in other jurisdictions.
- 4 These challenges were known when the Exposure Draft for IFRS S2 *Climate-related Disclosures* (Draft S2) was developed. Appendix B of Draft S2, which included industry-based climate-related disclosures based on the SASB Standards, proposed amendments to improve the international applicability of the climate-related disclosures in the SASB Standards. When S2 is published, the ISSB plans to release an update to the corresponding SASB Standards to align the climate-related industry-specific disclosures in the SASB Standards with the content included in S2.
- 5 Accordingly, the scope of the methodology in this Exposure Draft applies to those SASB Standards metrics not amended when S2 is issued. These disclosures are described as 'non-climate-related SASB Standards metrics' in this Exposure Draft. Such disclosures include sustainability-related topics like air emissions, biodiversity, community engagement and human capital management.
- 6 The scope of any SASB Standards Taxonomy Updates would align with the scope of amendments to the SASB Standards associated with this Exposure Draft and any changes to the SASB Standards arising from the publication of S2.

## Methodology

---

- 7 When issuing S2, the ISSB plans to amend the SASB Standards metrics to align the metrics included in S2 that also are included in the SASB Standards, thus removing inconsistencies with the industry-specific guidance included in S2. This Exposure Draft details the ISSB's proposed methodology for enhancing the international applicability of the remaining SASB Standards metrics. The proposed methodology builds on the approaches used to enhance the international applicability of the climate-

METHODOLOGY FOR ENHANCING THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICABILITY OF THE SASB STANDARDS  
AND SASB STANDARDS TAXONOMY UPDATES

related SASB Standard metrics included in the industry-specific guidance included in Appendix B of Draft S2.

- 8 This Exposure Draft reflects a targeted exercise that the ISSB proposes to undertake to ensure that entities referring to the SASB Standards in applying S1 can use the relevant metrics across multiple jurisdictions, regardless of where a preparer operates. The objective of the methodology proposed in this Exposure Draft is thus to enhance the international applicability of the SASB Standards without substantially changing the structure or original intent of the content. The proposed methodology also is designed to ensure amendments preserve the decision-usefulness of the SASB Standards for users of general purpose financial reports and not increase the costs of application for preparers. For example, an entity already using the SASB Standards could continue to provide the same disclosures even after the SASB Standards are amended using this methodology. The proposed methodology is intended to make the SASB Standards metrics applicable in any jurisdiction; the proposed methodology also will revise language in the SASB Standards that is specific to any jurisdiction. Likewise, an entity using the amended SASB Standards would be able to do so whether they apply IFRS Accounting Standards or other generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).
- 9 The ISSB has identified the non-climate-related SASB Standards metrics that rely on jurisdiction-specific references to define the metric's scope, methods or parameters. In descending order of preference, amendments to the SASB Standards metrics would be made by:
  - (a) substituting available internationally applicable references for standards, definitions or calculation methods to replace jurisdiction-specific references;
  - (b) providing more generalised definitions for standards, definitions or calculation processes to replace jurisdiction-specific references;
  - (c) adopting generalised jurisdictional references to enable preparers to use applicable jurisdictional laws, regulations, methodologies or guidance to replace jurisdiction-specific references;
  - (d) removing—in a limited number of cases—disclosure metrics that are ill-adapted for international application or have no identified international equivalents outside specific jurisdictions; and
  - (e) removing and replacing jurisdiction-specific metrics when a relevant replacement can be identified to preserve the disclosure topic's integrity, aligning with the intent of the original metric as much as possible based on research, to meet the needs of users of general purpose financial reports.
- 10 The methodology is focused on procedural revisions and avoids substantive amendments to the SASB Standards metrics' original content. Appendix A of this Exposure Draft details the proposed revision approaches. Appendix B contains illustrative examples using each revision approach of how the proposed methodology would be applied. Appendix C provides two sets of representative metrics from two different industries revised in accordance with the proposed methodology.

- 11 Depending on the complexity of a specific metric, its associated technical protocols can include many terms, definitions and calculation methods potentially referencing a range of sources. As shown in Appendix C, the proposed methodology revision approaches often are combined in practice to improve the international applicability of the various separate but related elements within a metric's technical protocols. For instance, an internationally recognised framework (Revision Approach 1) may be cited as a suitable alternative to using applicable jurisdictional laws or regulations (Revision Approach 3) if a preparer's jurisdiction lacks relevant legal or regulatory frameworks. Often, providing clearly defined terms in the proposed amendments (Revision Approach 2) augments references to applicable jurisdictional laws or regulations (Revision Approach 3) or internationally recognised frameworks (Revision Approach 1). These defined terms help a preparer better understand the metric's context for application.
- 12 The methodology's targeted approach balances enhancing international applicability across a significant volume of content with supporting timely implementation and application of S1.
- 13 This narrowly scoped project is separate from any broader considerations regarding the international applicability of the SASB Standards, including the work the ISSB has agreed to consider for potential further enhancements to the industry-specific disclosures included as guidance in S2.

## **SASB Standards Taxonomy Updates**

---

- 14 The SASB Standards Taxonomy Updates describe how information included in the SASB Standards is captured or 'tagged' for digital reporting. Therefore, the digital tags used to label information reported when preparers apply the SASB Standards may have to be updated to reflect amendments to the SASB Standards.
- 15 Reflecting the proposed methodology to improve the international applicability of the SASB Standard, the SASB Standards Taxonomy is proposed to be amended:
- (a) to change, as necessary, taxonomy concepts (digital tags) to align with proposed amendments to the SASB Standards using the methods outlined in paragraph 8 (a)–(c);
  - (b) to remove, as necessary, taxonomy concepts to align with proposed amendments to the SASB Standards using the method outlined in paragraph 8 (d); and
  - (c) to add, as necessary, taxonomy concepts to align with proposed amendments to the SASB Standards using the method outlined in paragraph 8 (e).
- 16 Until the SASB Standards Taxonomy is updated to reflect all amendments to the SASB Standards, the ISSB plans to provide an online blacklined version of the SASB Standards showing the original and amended wording. This blacklined version will enable an entity to map necessary adjustments to the SASB Standards Taxonomy to reflect the amended SASB Standards.

## **Next steps**

---

- 17 During the public comment period for this Exposure Draft, the ISSB plans:

METHODOLOGY FOR ENHANCING THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICABILITY OF THE SASB STANDARDS  
AND SASB STANDARDS TAXONOMY UPDATES

- (a) to hold discussions regarding both the proposed methodology to amend the non-climate-related SASB Standards metrics and specific proposed metric amendments;
  - (b) to consult a broad range of stakeholders, with a focus on jurisdictions less familiar with the SASB Standards; and
  - (c) to consider feedback on this Exposure Draft and during outreach to determine how to proceed with the proposed methodology and associated amendments to the SASB Standards.
- 18 The ISSB will consider comments received regarding the proposed methodology and use the feedback to inform the methodology, corresponding amendments to the SASB Standards and potential updates to the SASB Standards Taxonomy. The ISSB's goal is to revise the SASB Standards in connection with this work before the S1 effective date.
- 19 The staff will consider feedback regarding the steps outlined in paragraphs 14–15 to determine how to proceed with updates to the SASB Standards Taxonomy structure and design.

## Appendix A

### Revision approaches in detail

- A1 Metrics in the current SASB Standards that are not internationally applicable have been identified. These metrics represent 20% of the approximately 1,000 metrics included in the SASB Standards. Focused on those metrics, a methodology has been developed for targeted amendments to make these metrics more internationally applicable.
- A2 Paragraphs A3–A7 detail the essential concepts underpinning the five revision approaches developed for the methodology.
- A3 Revision Approach 1: The preferred proposed approach is to replace a jurisdiction-specific reference with an equivalent international reference if the information guiding a preparer remains substantially the same, regardless of where the preparer operates. Applying Revision Approach 1 is feasible only if an equivalent international reference can be identified and if the identified reference captures relevant disclosure topic performance in a way that is sufficiently representationally faithful. The SASB Standards metrics identify pertinent aspects of disclosure topic performance for each industry. An equivalent international reference would capture aspects of performance similarly to the original jurisdiction-specific reference being replaced. An international reference means an internationally recognised, globally applicable standard, definition or calculation methodology to which:
- a. most jurisdictions abide; and
  - b. jurisdictional equivalents are generally not meaningfully different from the prevalent international standard, definition or calculation methodology.
- A4 Revision Approach 2: When no relevant international references can be applied, when possible, the next preferred approach is to replace the jurisdiction-specific reference with definitions that are likely to be internationally applicable. Revision Approach 2 is applied instead of Revision Approach 1 when:
- a. an internationally applicable standard, definition or calculation methodology has not been identified;
  - b. the underlying concept is understood widely, such that a specific definition or calculation methodology could be understood easily and broadly, would not be disruptive for preparers and would provide the information needed for the original disclosure as a suitable substitute for the jurisdiction-specific reference; and
  - c. a specific, consistent definition, standard or calculation methodology added to the metric’s technical protocols could enhance comparability.
- A5 Revision Approach 3: If a metric is based on concepts or data too complex to apply Revision Approach 2 without introducing significant amounts of incremental content, the next preferred approach is to replace jurisdiction-specific references with text that would rely on applicable jurisdictional laws, regulations or definitions in a generalised way. Revision Approach 3 would be applied when:

METHODOLOGY FOR ENHANCING THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICABILITY OF THE SASB STANDARDS  
AND SASB STANDARDS TAXONOMY UPDATES

- a. an internationally applicable standard, definition or calculation methodology has not been identified; and
  - b. multiple jurisdictional standards, definitions or calculation methodologies correspond with the jurisdiction-specific reference that is being replaced.
- A6 Revision Approach 4: If Revision Approaches 1–3 are not feasible, the next preferred approach is to consider whether the metric associated with the jurisdiction-specific reference can be removed without leaving the disclosure topic incomplete. If, for instance, the disclosure topic contains other metrics that provide related information, the metric would be removed.
- A7 Revision Approach 5: If Revision Approach 4 has been proposed or a sub-metric has been removed when implementing Revision Approaches 1–3, the staff could consider whether to draft a similar replacement metric. The metric or sub-metric that has been removed would be replaced with a different but consistent metric that helps to complete the set of metrics necessary to understand performance under the associated disclosure topic.
- A8 Illustrative examples of these revision approaches can be found in Appendix B. Industry examples of these revision approaches can be found in Appendix C.
- A9 For context, approximately 200 metrics in the SASB Standards have been identified as requiring significant research and analysis to assess potential amendments to improve their international applicability. Of this set:
- a. over half of the proposed metric amendments use Revision Approaches 2 and 3 combined (35%) or Revision Approach 1 combined with Revision Approaches 2 or 3 (17%);
  - b. one-third of the proposed metric amendments involve using Revision Approach 2 alone (21%) or Revision Approach 3 alone (12%);
  - c. One-third of the proposed metric amendments are concentrated in the healthcare (18%) and finance (14%) sectors;
  - d. 16 metrics have been identified for potential removal using Revision Approach 4, of which seven are in the healthcare sector (primarily the *Health Care Delivery* industry), three are in the transportation sector and two are in each of the consumer goods, infrastructure and services sectors, respectively; and
  - e. five new metrics have been developed using Revision Approach 5 to replace three metrics proposed for removal under Revision Approach 4 and to fill gaps resulting from the proposed removal of internationally inapplicable sub-metrics under Revision Approaches 1–3.



## Appendix B Illustrative examples

*This appendix provides examples of the five revision approaches listed in Appendix A.*

### **Example of Revision Approach 1—revising the SASB Standards with reference to an internationally applicable standard, definition or calculation method**

- B1 Metric EM-CM-320a.2 in the *Construction Materials* SASB Standard measures the number of reported cases of silicosis affecting the entity’s current workforce or past employees. The technical protocol for this metric defines silicosis in accordance with the US Code of Federal Regulations (US 29 CFR 1910.1053 Appendix B), which is applicable only in the United States.
- B2 The globally applicable World Health Organization’s (WHO) International Classification of Diseases (ICD) defines clinically diagnosed silicosis as pneumoconiosis caused by silica dust inhalation, designated ICD-10 J62.8 under current WHO classifications. The WHO’s ICD provides a common international standard for recording, reporting and monitoring diseases. The ICD has been translated into 43 languages and is being used by all WHO member states.
- B3 Under the proposed methodology, the WHO ICD reference defining silicosis would replace the previous US Code of Federal Regulations reference.

**Table 1—Revision Approach 1 to enhancing international applicability of the SASB Standards**

<b>Construction Materials SASB Standard</b>	<b>Proposed revision</b>
EM-CM-320a.2. Number of reported cases of silicosis	EM-CM-320a.2. Number of reported cases of silicosis
1 The entity shall disclose the number of reported cases of silicosis affecting the entity’s current workforce or past employees.	1 The entity shall disclose the number of reported cases of silicosis affecting the entity’s current workforce or past employees.
1.1 The scope of disclosure includes cases of chronic, acute, or accelerated silicosis.	1.1 Silicosis is defined in accordance with the World Health Organization’s International Classification of Diseases as pneumoconiosis due to dust containing silica.
1.2 The entity shall refer to U.S. 29 CFR 1910.1053 Appendix B for the definition of chronic, acute, or accelerated silicosis.	1.2 The scope of disclosure includes clinically diagnosed cases of chronic, acute, or accelerated silicosis.

**Example of Revision Approach 2—revising the SASB Standards by providing a general definition**

- B4 Metric CG-EC-330a.4 in the *E-Commerce* SASB Standard measures the percentage of technical employees who are H-1B visa holders, a categorisation applicable only under US immigration law. Additionally, the US Bureau of Labor Statistics’ Standard Occupation Classification system defines who is a technical employee.
- B5 Applying Revision Approach 2, references to ‘H-1B visa holders’ would be revised to ‘foreign nationals’ and the definition of technical employees would be generalised.

**Table 2—Revision Approach 2 to enhancing international applicability of the SASB Standards**

<b><i>E-Commerce</i> SASB Standard</b>	<b>Proposed revision</b>
CG-EC-330a.4. Percentage of technical employees who are H-1B visa holders	CG-EC-330a.4. Percentage of technical employees who are foreign nationals
...	...
1.1 Technical staff includes employees categorized in the 15-0000 group (Computer and Mathematical Occupations) or 17-0000 group (Architecture and Engineering Occupations) of the Standard Occupation Classification (SOC) system from U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS).	1.1 The percentage shall be calculated as the number of technical employees who are foreign nationals divided by the total number of the entity’s technical employees.
1.2 The percentage shall be calculated as the number of technical employees with valid H-1B visas divided by the total number of technical employees.	1.2 Technical employees generally are defined as employees who perform highly skilled or highly qualified work generally categorised in the computer, mathematical, architecture and engineering occupations.

**Example of Revision Approach 3—revising the SASB Standards with reference to applicable jurisdictional requirements**

- B6 Metric IF-WM-150a.2 in the *Waste Management* SASB Standard measures the number of ‘corrective actions’ an entity conducts to remediate ‘landfill releases’ to achieve ‘groundwater protection standards’. The US federal regulations framing the elements involved in these corrective actions in IF-WM-150a.2 are applicable only in the US.
- B7 Internationally accepted definitions that focus on similar actions have not been identified. Applying Revision Approach 3, IF-WM-150a.2 would be revised to require an entity to draft the disclosure based on consistent terms defined in accordance with ‘applicable jurisdictional laws or regulations’.
- B8 Although this approach might reduce cross-jurisdictional comparability between entities operating in varying regulatory regimes, intra-jurisdictional comparability could be preserved. Applying Revision

Approach 3, the entity generally also would disclose the applicable jurisdictional laws or regulations used to define the corrective actions to provide clarity for users.

**Table 3—Revision Approach 3 to enhancing international applicability of the SASB Standards**

<b>Waste Management SASB Standard</b>	<b>Proposed revision</b>
IF-WM-150a.2. Number of corrective actions implemented for landfill releases	IF-WM-150a.2. Number of corrective actions implemented for landfill releases
...	...
1.1 Corrective actions are defined as control and cleanup of landfill releases of constituents detected at a statistically significant level above the established background level (as defined in Appendix I of U.S. 40 CFR Part 258) to achieve the groundwater protection standards in U.S. 40 CFR Part 258.50 Subpart E—Ground-Water Monitoring and Corrective Action.	1.1 Corrective actions include the control and clean-up of landfill releases of constituents detected at a statistically significant level above the established background level to achieve the groundwater protection standards as defined in accordance with applicable jurisdictional laws or regulations.
2 The scope of disclosure includes corrective actions for Subtitle C landfills as defined in U.S. 40 CFR Part 258.50 Subpart E—Ground-Water Monitoring and Corrective Action for municipal solid waste landfills and Subtitle D landfills as defined in U.S. 40 CFR Part 264.100—Corrective Action Program.	2 The scope of disclosure includes corrective actions implemented for both active landfills and closed landfills.
3 The scope of disclosure includes corrective actions implemented for active landfills and closed landfills.	3 The entity shall disclose the applicable jurisdictional laws or regulations used to define corrective actions.

**Example of Revision Approach 4—removing a metric in the SASB Standards**

- B9 Metric HC-BP-240b.1 in the *Biotechnology & Pharmaceuticals* SASB Standard measures the number of Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) litigation settlements involving payments or provisions to delay bringing an authorised generic product to market. The ANDA process guides pharmaceutical entities seeking approval to sell generic drugs in the US. The US federal code governs the parameters of such ANDA processes and associated litigation under the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (Hatch-Waxman Amendments), US 98–417.
- B10 No global equivalents to ANDA have been identified. The staff found nothing resembling the ANDA process or associated litigation after researching non-US jurisdictions in Africa, Asia-Pacific, Europe and South America. Drug sales and distribution are covered by a continuum of jurisdiction-specific healthcare models. Likewise, legal processes and their relationships to domestic pharmaceuticals distribution vary significantly by jurisdiction.

METHODOLOGY FOR ENHANCING THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICABILITY OF THE SASB STANDARDS  
AND SASB STANDARDS TAXONOMY UPDATES

- B11 Research indicates that developing an internationally applicable metric that preserves the initial intent of HC-BP-240b.1 is not feasible. Nevertheless, the two other metrics in the Affordability & Pricing disclosure topic in the *Biotechnology & Pharmaceuticals* SASB Standard, with some minor modifications, substantially preserve the disclosure topic's completeness. Applying Revision Approach 4, HC-BP-240b.1 would be removed and not replaced.

**Example of Revision Approach 5—removing and replacing a metric in the SASB Standards**

- B12 Accounting metric TR-AF-430a.1 in the *Air Freight & Logistics* SASB Standard measures an entity's percentage of carriers exceeding a pre-set safety scoring system threshold. TR-AF-430a.1 uses the US Department of Transportation's Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's (FMCSA) Behavior Analysis and Safety Improvement Categories (BASIC) tool, which is reliant on US legal and regulatory information gathering systems and is modelled using US data (see Table C9 for another set of SASB Standards metrics that reference the BASIC tool).
- B13 The FMCSA's Safety Measurement System captures a broad range of US carrier data and calculates the BASIC percentiles in a customised data system to highlight carrier safety performance issues and prioritise possible US federal regulatory authority intervention.
- B14 The BASIC tool examines only US motor-carrier safety data and assesses motor-carrier safety using formulations based only on US historical data. No global equivalent to the BASIC tool and safety measurement data system has been identified, though some may be in development.
- B15 No other metric in the Supply Chain Management disclosure topic in the *Air Freight & Logistics* SASB Standard is intended to capture entity performance using the concepts embedded in TR-AF-430a.1.
- B16 Applying Revision Approach 5, TR-AF-430a.1 would be removed and replaced. A new qualitative metric patterned on language used in similar metrics in the SASB Standards would be developed as a basis to assess an entity's policies and strategies to identify, assess and manage business-disruption risks associated with contract-carrier safety.

## Appendix C

### SASB Standards industry revisions examples

*This appendix provides examples of how two SASB Standards could be amended using the proposed methodology.*

- C1 This appendix contains examples of how two SASB Standards industries could be amended using the revision approaches outlined in paragraph 9. This appendix includes supporting commentary summarising the rationale for the choice of revision approaches used.
- C2 These amendments are provided in markup, with deletions ~~struck through~~ and additions underlined. For the reader's benefit, these examples may not indicate some of the minor edits that would be made to align the SASB Standards content with the IFRS Foundation Style Guide or to adopt the uniform International System of Units of measure (for example, changing 'meters' to 'metres' or 'feet' to 'metres').
- C3 For context, this appendix includes summary tables like those provided at the beginning of each SASB Standard. These summary tables list the complete set of SASB Standards disclosure topics and associated metrics for those industries. The metrics and detailed technical protocols subsequently provided after the summary table in each industry have been identified as non-climate-related SASB Standards metrics containing jurisdiction-specific references which fall within the proposed methodology scope of work.

#### EXAMPLE 1

- C4 The Electric Utilities & Power Generators industry (IF-EU) is an example of how the staff would apply the revision approaches, both individually and in combination. This industry involves proposed amendments to a jurisdiction-specific 'frequently occurring metric' found in other industries in the SASB Standards and the use of Revision Approach 5, which is expected to be used rarely. This industry shows some of the common issues anticipated in enhancing the international applicability of jurisdiction-specific SASB Standards metrics and technical protocols.

## Sustainability Accounting Standard

### INFRASTRUCTURE SECTOR - ELECTRIC UTILITIES & POWER GENERATORS

#### Industry Standard Version 2018-10 (REVISED)

## SUSTAINABILITY DISCLOSURE TOPICS & ACCOUNTING METRICS

Table C1—Sustainability Disclosure Topics & Accounting Metrics

TOPIC	ACCOUNTING METRIC	CATEGORY	UNIT OF MEASURE	CODE
Greenhouse Gas Emissions & Energy Resource Planning	(1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions, percentage covered under (2) emissions-limiting regulations, and (3) emissions-reporting regulations	Quantitative	Metric tons (t) CO <sub>2</sub> -e, Percentage (%)	IF-EU-110a.1
	Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with power deliveries	Quantitative	Metric tons (t) CO <sub>2</sub> -e	IF-EU-110a.2
	Discussion of long- and short-term strategy or plan to manage Scope 1 emissions, emissions reduction targets, and an analysis of performance against those targets	Discussion and Analysis	n/a	IF-EU-110a.3
Air Quality	Air emissions of the following pollutants: (1) NO <sub>x</sub> (excluding N <sub>2</sub> O), (2) SO <sub>x</sub> , (3) particulate matter (PM <sub>10</sub> ), (4) lead (Pb), and (5) mercury (Hg); percentage of each in or near areas of dense population	Quantitative	Metric tons (t), Percentage (%)	IF-EU-120a.1
Water Management	(1) Total water withdrawn, (2) total water consumed; percentage of each in regions with High or Extremely High Baseline Water Stress	Quantitative	Thousand cubic metres (m <sup>3</sup> ), Percentage (%)	IF-EU-140a.1
	Number of incidents of non-compliance associated with water quantity or quality permits, standards, and regulations	Quantitative	Number	IF-EU-140a.2
	Description of water management risks and discussion of strategies and practices to mitigate those risks	Discussion and Analysis	n/a	IF-EU-140a.3
Coal Ash Management	Amount of coal combustion products (CCPs) residuals (CCR) generated, percentage recycled	Quantitative	Metric tons (t), Percentage (%)	IF-EU-150a.1
	Total number of coal combustion residual (CCR) impoundments, broken down by hazard potential classification and structural integrity assessment	Quantitative	Number	IF-EU-150a.2
	Description of coal combustion products (CCPs) management policies and procedures for active and inactive operations	Discussion and Analysis	n/a	IF-EU-150a.3
Energy Affordability	Average retail electric rate for (1) residential, (2) commercial, and (3) industrial customers	Quantitative	Rate	IF-EU-240a.1

TOPIC	ACCOUNTING-METRIC	CATEGORY	UNIT OF MEASURE	CODE
	Typical monthly electric bill for residential customers for (1) 500 kWh and (2) 1,000 kWh of electricity delivered per month	Quantitative	Reporting currency	IF-EU-240a.2
	Number of residential customer electric disconnections for non-payment, percentage reconnected within 30 days	Quantitative	Number, Percentage (%)	IF-EU-240a.3
	Discussion of impact of external factors on customer affordability of electricity, including the economic conditions of the service territory	Discussion and Analysis	n/a	IF-EU-240a.4
Workforce Health & Safety	(1) Total recordable incident rate (TRIR), (2) fatality rate, and (3) near miss frequency rate (NMFR) for (a) direct employees and (b) <u>contract employees</u>	Quantitative	Rate	IF-EU-320a.1
End-Use Efficiency & Demand	Percentage of electric load served by smart grid technology	Quantitative	Percentage (%) by megawatt hours (MWh)	IF-EU-420a.2
	Customer electricity savings from efficiency measures, by market	Quantitative	Megawatt hours (MWh)	IF-EU-420a.3
Nuclear Safety & Emergency Management	Total number of nuclear power units, broken down by <u>results of most recent independent safety review U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Action Matrix</u>	Quantitative	Number	IF-EU-540a.1
	Description of efforts to manage nuclear safety and emergency preparedness	Discussion and Analysis	n/a	IF-EU-540a.2
Grid Resiliency	Number of incidents of non-compliance with physical or cybersecurity standards or regulations	Quantitative	Number	IF-EU-550a.1
	(1) System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI), (2) System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI), and (3) Customer Average Interruption Duration Index (CAIDI), inclusive of major event days	Quantitative	Minutes, Number	IF-EU-550a.2

## Coal Ash Management

### IF-EU-150a.1. Amount of coal combustion products (CCPs) ~~residuals (CCR)~~ generated, percentage recycled

1 The entity shall disclose the amount, in metric tons, of coal combustion products (CCP) ~~residuals (CCR)~~ generated from its operations.

1.1 CCPs include fly ash, bottom ash, boiler slag, fluidized-bed combustion ash or flue gas desulfurization material resulting predominantly from the combustion of coal.

~~CCR materials are defined as fly ash, bottom ash, boiler slag and flue gas desulfurization materials generated~~

METHODOLOGY FOR ENHANCING THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICABILITY OF THE SASB STANDARDS  
AND SASB STANDARDS TAXONOMY UPDATES

~~from burning coal for the purpose of generating electricity by electric utilities and independent power producers, consistent with US 40 CFR 257.53.~~

- 2 The entity shall disclose the percentage of CCPs ~~CCR materials that were recycled.~~
  - 2.1 The entity shall define recycled CCPs based on the applicable jurisdictional definition.  
~~CCR material is recycled if it meets all the following conditions, consistent with US 40 CFR 257.53:~~
    - 2.1.1 ~~The CCR material must provide a functional benefit (for example, CCR in concrete increases the durability of concrete and CCR as a soil amendment adjusts the pH of soil to promote plant growth).~~
    - 2.1.2 ~~The CCR material must substitute for the use of a virgin material, conserving natural resources that would otherwise need to be obtained through practices, such as extraction (for example, CCR used in roadbed replaces quarried aggregate or other industrial materials).~~
    - 2.1.3 ~~The use of the CCR materials must meet relevant product specifications, regulatory standards or design standards when available, and when such standards are not available, the CCR material must not be used in excess quantities (for example, the field applications of CCR materials do not exceed the scientifically supported quantities required for enhancing soil properties or crop yields).~~
  - 2.2 CCPs generally are considered recycled if they are converted into new materials and objects.
  - 2.3 The percentage shall be calculated as the weight of CCPs ~~CCR that was~~ reused or reclaimed, plus the weight recycled (through treatment or processing) by the entity, plus the weight sent externally for further recycling, divided by the total weight of CCR generated from operations.
  - 2.4 The entity shall disclose the applicable jurisdictional laws or regulations used to define recycled CCPs.

**Table C2—Proposed revision approach to metric IF-EU-150a.1**

<p><b>Combined Revision Approaches 1 and 3:</b> (1) revise the metric with reference to an internationally accepted coal ash definition and (2) revise the metric with reference to applicable jurisdictional requirements</p>
<p>1) 'Coal combustion products' (CCPs) is the prevailing industry term. It is used by the World Wide Coal Combustion Products Network, which includes prominent coal ash management organisations from multiple jurisdictions.</p> <p>2) Significant differences between the current US regulatory definition and other jurisdictional definitions of CCP recycling have been identified. As a result, relying on applicable jurisdictional requirements to define CCP recycling is recommended.</p>

**~~IF-EU-150a.2. Total number of coal combustion residual (CCR) impoundments, broken~~**



## **down by hazard potential classification and structural integrity assessment**

1—The entity shall disclose the total number of coal combustion residual (CCR) impoundments.

1.1—CCR impoundments are defined as those surface impoundments containing residuals of coal combustion.

1.1.1—A surface impoundment is defined, according to US 40 CFR 257.2, as a facility or part of a facility that is a natural topographic depression, human-made excavation or diked area formed primarily of earthen materials (although it may be lined with human-made materials) that is designed to hold an accumulation of liquid wastes or wastes containing free liquids and that is not an injection well.

1.2—The scope of disclosure includes those CCR impoundments that the entity currently owns or operates and those CCR impoundments that are inactive or closed, but for which the entity retains oversight or financial responsibility.

2—The entity shall disclose the number of CRR impoundments by the hazard potential classification that each impoundment falls into, as well as its structural integrity assessment rating, where:

2.1—Hazard potential classifications define the potential for loss of life or damage resulting from a dam failure. Classifications include High Hazard Potential, Significant Hazard Potential, Low Hazard Potential, Less Than Low Hazard Potential, and Incised. These classifications are consistent with the US Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) *Federal Guidelines for Dam Safety: Hazard Potential Classification System for Dams* (except for Incised).

2.1.1—High Hazard Potential is defined as a dam where failure or misoperation will probably cause loss of human life.

2.1.2—Significant Hazard Potential is defined as a dam where failure or misoperation would not result in probable loss of human life, but could cause economic loss, environmental damage, disruption of lifeline facilities or impact other concerns.

2.1.3—Low Hazard Potential is defined as a dam where failure or misoperation would not result in probable loss of human life and economic or environmental losses would be of low magnitude.

2.1.4—Less Than Low Hazard Potential is defined as a dam that does not pose high, significant or low hazard potential.

2.1.5—Incised is defined as, consistent with US 40 CFR 257 and 261, a CCR surface impoundment that is entirely below the natural ground surface, holds an accumulation of CCR entirely below the adjacent natural ground surface, and does not consist of any constructed diked portion. Incised CCR surface impoundments are not able to perform a hazard potential classification assessment because hazard potential classifications are based on the failure of a dam, diked surface impoundment or other water-retaining structure and the adverse incremental impacts that may result from the failure.

METHODOLOGY FOR ENHANCING THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICABILITY OF THE SASB STANDARDS  
AND SASB STANDARDS TAXONOMY UPDATES

- ~~2.2 Structural integrity ratings define the expected performance of dams under applicable loading circumstances (static, hydraulic and seismic), where ratings include Satisfactory, Fair, Poor, Unsatisfactory and Not Applicable.~~
- ~~2.2.1 Satisfactory is defined as those dams where acceptable performance is expected under all required loading circumstances and no existing or potential safety deficiencies are recognised.~~
- ~~2.2.2 Fair is defined as those dams where acceptable performance is expected under all required loading circumstances, yet minor deficiencies may exist that require remedial action or secondary studies or investigations.~~
- ~~2.2.3 Poor is defined as those dams where a safety deficiency is recognised for a required loading circumstance, remedial action is required, and further critical studies or investigations may be needed.~~
- ~~2.2.4 Unsatisfactory is defined as those dams, considered unsafe, where a deficiency is recognised that requires immediate or emergency remedial action.~~
- ~~2.2.5 A CCR surface impoundment may be classified as 'Not Applicable' if, consistent with US 40 CFR 257.73, it does not meet either of the following conditions: a height of five feet or more and a storage volume of 20 acre feet or more; or a height of 20 feet or more.~~
- ~~3 Where national, state, local or internal assessments determine the hazard potential or structural integrity to be at higher risk of impact or failure than that determined by regulatory authorities, the entity shall disclose the more conservative (higher risk) classification or rating.~~
- ~~4 Where applicable regulations related to hazard potential classifications and structural integrity ratings regulations of CCR impoundments differ from the classifications and ratings defined above, the entity may disclose the applicable hazard potential classification and structural integrity rating based on applicable regulations or internally developed assessments.~~
- ~~5 The entity may summarise and disclose CCR impoundments in the following table format: (table removed)~~

**IF-EU-150a.3. Description of coal combustion products (CCPs) management policies and procedures for active and inactive operations**

- 1 The entity shall describe the policies and procedures set forth by its coal combustion products (CCPs) management strategy.
- 1.1 The scope of disclosure shall include policies and procedures for the entity's active and inactive operations.
- 1.2 CCPs include fly ash, bottom ash, boiler slag, fluidized-bed combustion ash or flue gas desulfurization material resulting predominantly from the combustion of coal.
- 2 The entity shall describe how its policies and procedures compare with those required by local jurisdictions that apply to the entity.

2.1 The entity shall discuss whether and how its policies and procedures exceed the requirements of local jurisdictions.

2.2 The entity shall discuss how its policies and procedures vary by region.

3 The entity shall describe its approach to CCPs management during the entire product life cycle.

3.1 The scope of disclosure shall include a discussion of the entity's:

3.1.1 Approach to assessment of potential environmental impacts associated with CCPs

3.1.2 Policies and procedures related to CCPs waste avoidance

3.1.3 Approach to identification, assessment, and application of recycling, reuse, and repurposing of CCPs as management strategy

3.1.4 Policies and procedures related to CCP disposal

3.1.5 Policies and procedures related to remediation of environmental or social impacts of incidents associated with the mishandling of CCPs

3.1.6 Approach to decommissioning CCP disposal facilities

4 The entity shall include a description of how CCP management efforts are coordinated among business partners (for example, contractors and subcontractors).

5 The entity shall describe how it ensures compliance and conformance with its CCP management policies and procedures.

**Table C3—Proposed revision approach to metrics IF-EU-150a.2 and IF-EU-150a.3**

**Revision Approach 5:**

- (1) remove the quantitative CCR impoundment metric and
- (2) replace it with a qualitative metric related to CCP policies and procedures

- 1) Jurisdictions have significantly varied regulatory requirements for coal ash disposal across jurisdictions, ranging from near complete recycling to dry landfilling and ash ponds. As a result, designing a common international quantitative unit of measure for all disposal management techniques would be challenging.
- 2) To avoid potential impairment of disclosure topic completeness, a qualitative metric can be developed that discloses an entity's policies and procedures to mitigate the environmental impacts associated with coal ash disposal and the cascading effects on human health.

## Workforce Health & Safety

### **IF-EU-320a.1. (1) Total recordable incident rate (TRIR), (2) fatality rate, and (3) near miss frequency rate (NMFR) for (a) direct employees and (b) contract employees**

- 1 The entity shall disclose its total recordable incident rate (TRIR) for work-related injuries and illnesses.
  - 1.1 An injury or illness is considered a recordable incident if it results in any of the following: death, days away from work, restricted work or transfer to another job, medical treatment beyond first aid, or loss of consciousness. Additionally, a significant injury or illness diagnosed by a physician or other licensed health care professional is considered a recordable incident, even if it does not result in death, days away from work, restricted work or job transfer, medical treatment beyond first aid, or loss of consciousness. ~~This definition is derived from US 29 CFR 1904.7.~~
    - 1.1.1 First aid is defined as emergency care or treatment for an ill or injured person before regular medical aid can be provided.
    - 1.1.2 The entity may use applicable jurisdictional criteria for definitions of recordable incident and first aid.
  - ~~1.2 The US Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) provides additional resources for determining if injuries or illnesses are considered recordable incidents in its guidance for OSHA Forms 300, 300A and 301.~~
- 2 The entity shall disclose its fatality rate for work-related fatalities.
- 3 The entity shall disclose its near miss frequency rate (NMFR) for work-related near misses.
  - 3.1 A near miss is defined as an unplanned or uncontrolled event or chain of events that has not resulted in a recordable injury, illness, physical damage, or environmental damage, but had the potential to do so in other circumstances.  
~~A near miss is defined as an unplanned incident in which no property or environmental damage or personal injury occurred, but where damage or personal injury easily could have occurred but for a slight circumstantial shift.~~
  - ~~3.2 The US National Safety Council (NSC) provides guidance on implementing near miss reporting, including in, 'Near Miss Reporting Systems'.~~
  - ~~3.3 The entity may disclose its process for classifying, identifying and reporting near misses.~~
- 4 Rates shall be calculated as: (statistic count × 200,000) / total number of hours worked by all employees in the year reported
  - 4.1 The 200,000 in the rate calculation represents the total number of hours 100 full-time workers working 40 hours per week for 50 weeks per year can provide annually.

~~The US Bureau of Labour Statistics (BLS) provides additional guidance for the calculation of rates in, 'How to Compute a Firm's Incidence Rate for Safety Management' and 'Incidence Rate Calculator and Comparison Tool'.~~

- 5 The scope of disclosure includes work-related incidents only.
  - 5.1 Work-related incidents are injuries and illnesses resulting from events or exposures in the work environment. OSHA guidance for Forms 300, 300A and 301 provides guidance on determining whether an incident is work-related, as well as definitions for exemptions for incidents that occur in the work environment but are not work-related.
  - 5.2 The work environment is the establishment and other locations where one or more employees are working or are present as a condition of their employment.
  - 5.3 The work environment includes not only physical locations, but also the equipment or materials used by the employee during the course of work.
  - 5.4 Incidents that occur while an employee is on travel status are work-related if, at the time of the injury or illness, the employee was engaged in work activities in the interest of the employer.
  - 5.5 A work-related incident must be a new case, not a previously recorded injury or illness being updated.
- 6 The entity shall disclose the rates for each of the following categories of employee:
  - 6.1 Direct employees are defined as individuals on the entity's payroll, whether they are full-time, short service, part-time, executive, labour, salary, seasonal, migrant or hourly employees.
  - 6.2 Contract employees are defined as individuals who are not on the entity's payroll, but who are supervised by the entity on a day-to-day basis, including independent contractors and those employed by third parties (e.g., temp agencies and labour brokers).
- 7 The scope of disclosure includes all employees regardless of employee location or and type of employment, such as full-time, part-time, direct, contract, executive, labour, salary, hourly and seasonal employees.

**Table C4—Proposed revision approach to metric IF-EU-320a.1**

<p><b>Combined Revision Approaches 2 and 3:</b> (1) revise the metric by providing clear definitions to specify metric scope and terms and (2) revise the metric with reference to applicable jurisdictional definitions</p>
<p>1) Defining the metric's key terms of reference within the metric's technical protocol to remove references to the US Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) references, to clarify the scope of employees included in the disclosure and to align those employee categories across the SASB Standards is recommended.</p> <p>2) The proposed definitions for recordable incidents and first aid may not align precisely with jurisdictional variations (for instance, different days away from work or what actions constitute first aid). To align with a preparer's existing compliance requirements and avoid increased reporting costs or complexity, the preparer would be permitted to use applicable jurisdiction definitions in those two instances.</p>
<p>NOTE: This metric is a frequently occurring metric in the SASB Standards, variations of which are included in 23 other industries (see Table C6). These other industry metrics also would be amended similarly to the proposed amendments to IF-EU-320a.1 to preserve comparability and consistency across the SASB Standards.</p>

**EXAMPLE 2**

C1 The Road Transportation industry (TR-RO) is another example of an industry that would be amended using a combination of revision approaches, like the combinations illustrated in Example 1 of Appendix C. TR-RO and IF-EU show how frequently occurring metrics in the SASB Standards may vary across industries while substantially capturing the same information. The proposed amendments for frequently occurring metrics would be replicated to maintain general comparability and consistency with the other SASB Standards industries in which these similar metrics reside.

**Sustainability Accounting Standard****TRANSPORTATION SECTOR - ROAD TRANSPORTATION****Industry Standard Version 2018-10 (REVISED)****SUSTAINABILITY DISCLOSURE TOPICS & ACCOUNTING METRICS***Table C5—Sustainability Disclosure Topics & Accounting Metrics*

TOPIC	ACCOUNTING METRIC	CATEGORY	UNIT OF MEASURE	CODE
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Gross global Scope 1 emissions	Quantitative	Metric tons (t) CO <sub>2</sub> -e	TR-RO-110a.1
	Discussion of long-term and short-term strategy or plan to manage Scope 1 emissions, emissions reduction targets, and an analysis of performance against those targets	Discussion and Analysis	n/a	TR-RO-110a.2
	(1) Total fuel consumed, (2) percentage natural gas (3) percentage renewable	Quantitative	Gigajoules (GJ), Percentage (%)	TR-RO-110a.3
Air Quality	Air emissions of the following pollutants: (1) NO <sub>x</sub> (excluding N <sub>2</sub> O), (2) SO <sub>x</sub> , and (3) particulate matter (PM <sub>10</sub> )	Quantitative	Metric tons (t)	TR-RO-120a.1
<u>Workforce Driver Working Conditions, Health &amp; Safety</u>	(1) Total recordable incident rate (TRIR) and (2) fatality rate for (a) direct employees and (b) contract employees	Quantitative	Rate	TR-RO-320a.1
	(1) Voluntary and (2) involuntary turnover rate for all employees	Quantitative	Rate	TR-RO-320a.2
	Description of approach to managing short-term and long-term driver health risks	Discussion and Analysis	n/a	TR-RO-320a.3
Accident & Safety Management	Number of road accidents and incidents	Quantitative	Number	TR-RO-540a.1
	Safety Measurement System BASIC percentiles for: (1) Unsafe Driving, (2) Hours of Service Compliance, (3) Driver Fitness, (4) Controlled Substances/Alcohol, (5) Vehicle Maintenance, and (6) Hazardous Materials Compliance	Quantitative	Percentile	TR-RO-540a.2
	(1) Number and (2) aggregate volume of spills and releases to the environment	Quantitative	Number, Cubic metres (m <sup>3</sup> )	TR-RO-540a.3

## Workforce Driver Working Conditions, Health & Safety

### Accounting Metrics

#### TR-RO-320a.1. (1) Total recordable incident rate (TRIR) and (2) fatality rate for (a) direct employees and (b) contract employees

- 1 The entity shall disclose its total recordable incident rate (TRIR) for work-related injuries and illnesses.
  - 1.1 An injury or illness is considered a recordable incident if it results in any of the following: death, days away from work, restricted work or transfer to another job, medical treatment beyond first aid, or loss of consciousness. Additionally, a significant injury or illness diagnosed by a physician or other licensed health care professional is considered a recordable incident, even if it does not result in death, days away from work, restricted work or job transfer, medical treatment beyond first aid, or loss of consciousness. ~~This definition is derived from U.S. 29 CFR 1904.7.~~
    - 1.1.1 First aid is defined as emergency care or treatment for an ill or injured person before regular medical aid can be provided
    - 1.1.2 The entity may use applicable jurisdictional criteria for definitions of recordable incident and first aid
  - ~~1.2 The US Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) provides additional resources for determining if injuries or illnesses are considered recordable incidents in its guidance for OSHA Forms 300, 300A and 301.~~
- 2 The entity shall disclose its fatality rate for work-related fatalities.
- 3 Rates shall be calculated as:  $(\text{statistic count} \times 200,000) / \text{total number of hours worked by all employees in the year reported}$ 
  - 3.1 The 200,000 in the rate calculation represents the total number of hours 100 full-time workers working 40 hours per week for 50 weeks per year can provide annually. The US Bureau of Labour Statistics (BLS) provides additional guidance for the calculation of rates in 'How to Compute a Firm's Incidence Rate for Safety Management' and 'Incidence Rate Calculator and Comparison Tool'.
- 4 The scope of disclosure includes work-related incidents only.
  - 4.1 Work-related incidents are injuries and illnesses resulting from events or exposures in the work environment.
  - 4.2 The work environment is the establishment and other locations where one or more employees are working or are present as a condition of their employment.
  - 4.3 The work environment includes not only physical locations, but also the equipment or materials used by the employee during the course of work.



- 4.4 Incidents that occur while an employee is on travel status are work-related if, at the time of the injury or illness, the employee was engaged in work activities in the interest of the employer.
- 4.5 A work-related incident must be a new case, not a previously recorded injury or illness being updated. OSHA guidance for Forms 300, 300A and 301 provides guidance on determining whether an incident is work related, as well as definitions for exemptions for incidents that occur in the work environment but are not work related.
- 5 The entity shall disclose the rates for ~~by~~ each of the following employee categories:
- 5.1 Direct employees, are defined as individuals ~~those employees~~ on the entity’s payroll, whether they are full-time, short service, part-time, executive, labour, salary, seasonal, migrant, or hourly employees. ~~part-time, executive, labour, salary, hourly or seasonal employees.~~
- 5.2 Contract employees, are defined as individuals ~~those~~ who are not on the entity’s payroll, but who are supervised by the entity on a day-to-day basis, including independent contractors and those employed by third parties (for example, temp agencies and labour brokers).
- 6 The scope of disclosure includes all employees regardless of employee location or type of employment.

**Table C6—Proposed revision approach to metric TR-RO-320a.1**

<p><b>Combined Revision Approaches 2 and 3:</b>                  (1) revise the metric by providing clear definitions to specify metric scope and terms and                  (2) revise the metric with reference to applicable jurisdictional definitions</p>
<p>The rationale for the proposed amendment is the same as for IF-EU-320a.1 (see Table C4).</p>

**TR-RO-320a.3. Description of approach to managing short-term and long-term driver health risks**

- 1 The entity shall describe its efforts to assess, monitor and reduce exposure of employees to human health hazards which may include fatigue, including, but not limited to, fatigue and sleep deprivation, obesity and associated diseases, hypertension, and mental and emotional health issues.
- 1.1 Relevant efforts to discuss may include, but are not limited to, risk assessments, participation in long-term health studies, health and wellness monitoring programs, and use of electronic on-board recorders (EOBRs).
- 2 The entity shall describe management approach in the context of short-term (acute) risks and long-term (chronic) risks.
- 3 The scope of disclosure shall focus on truck drivers but may include other employees as relevant.

METHODOLOGY FOR ENHANCING THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICABILITY OF THE SASB STANDARDS  
AND SASB STANDARDS TAXONOMY UPDATES

- 4 The entity may discuss compliance with applicable jurisdictional laws or the US National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) and Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) regulations and recommendations for hours of service, scheduling, sleep apnea and fatigue management.

**Table C7—Proposed revision approach to metric TR-RO-320a.3**

<b>Revision Approach 3:</b> revise the metric with reference to applicable jurisdictional definitions
The proposed amendment of metric TR-RO-320a.3 would replace the reference to the US National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) with an applicable jurisdictional reference. Otherwise, the proposed metric would remain substantially the same.

## Accident & Safety Management

### TR-RO-540a.1. Number of road accidents and incidents

- 1 The entity shall disclose the aggregate number of road accidents and incidents involving its direct or contracted employees during hours of employment.
- 1.1 Direct employees are defined as individuals on the entity's payroll, whether they are full-time, short service, part-time, executive, labour, salary, seasonal, migrant, or hourly employees.
- 1.2 Contract employees are defined as individuals who are not on the entity's payroll, but who are supervised by the entity on a day-to-day basis, including independent contractors and those employed by third parties (e.g., temp agencies and labour brokers).
- 1.3 1.1 An accident is defined, ~~consistent with US 49 CFR 390.5T,~~ as an occurrence involving a commercial motor vehicle operating on a highway in interstate or intrastate commerce that results in one :
- 1.1.1 A fatality; or
- 1.1.2 Bodily injury to a person who, because of the injury, immediately receives medical treatment away from the scene of the accident; or
- 1.1.3 One or more motor vehicles incurring disabling damage because of the accident, requiring the motor vehicle(s) to be transported away from the scene by a tow truck or by other motor vehicle.
- 1.4 1.2 An accident does not include:
- 1.4.1 1.2.1 An occurrence involving only boarding and alighting from a stationary motor vehicle; or

1.4.2 1.2.2 An occurrence involving only the loading or unloading of cargo.

1.5 1.3 An incident is defined as any event involving a licensed motor vehicle while on business use resulting that results in a recordable incident (as defined by the US Occupational Safety and Health Administration), vehicle damage or other property damage.

1.5.1 An injury or illness is considered a recordable incident if it results in any of the following: death, days away from work, restricted work or transfer to another job, medical treatment beyond first aid, or loss of consciousness. Additionally, a significant injury or illness diagnosed by a physician or other licensed health care professional is considered a recordable incident, even if it does not result in death, days away from work, restricted work or job transfer, medical treatment beyond first aid, or loss of consciousness.

1.5.2 First aid is defined as emergency care or treatment for an ill or injured person before regular medical aid can be provided.

1.5.3 The entity may use applicable jurisdictional legal or regulatory criteria for definitions of recordable incident and first aid.

2 At a minimum, the scope of disclosure includes accidents and incidents reported to an applicable jurisdictional legal or regulatory the US National Transportation Safety Board or to an equivalent national authority.

**Table C8—Proposed revision approach to metric TR-RO-540a.1**

<p><b>Combined Revision Approaches 2 and 3:</b>                  (1) revise the metric by providing clear definitions to specify metric scope and terms and                  (2) revise the metric with reference to applicable jurisdictional reporting</p>
<p>1) Like Tables C4 and C6, the proposed metric amendment would define the main terms of reference within the metric's technical protocol to remove references to OSHA, to clarify the scope of employees included in the disclosure and to align those employee categories across the SASB Standards.</p> <p>2) The proposed metric amendment would also replace the US NTSB reference for accident and incident reporting with reference to an applicable jurisdictional legal or regulatory authority.</p>

**~~TR-RO-540a.2. Safety Measurement System BASIC percentiles for: (1) Unsafe Driving, (2) Hours of Service Compliance, (3) Driver Fitness, (4) Controlled Substances/Alcohol, (5) Vehicle Maintenance, and (6) Hazardous Materials Compliance~~**

~~1—The entity shall disclose the percentiles calculated by the US Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) Safety Measurement System (SMS) for the following Behaviour Analysis and Safety Improvement Categories (BASICS):~~

~~1.1—Unsafe Driving~~

~~1.2—Hours of Service (HOS) Compliance~~

METHODOLOGY FOR ENHANCING THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICABILITY OF THE SASB STANDARDS  
AND SASB STANDARDS TAXONOMY UPDATES

~~1.3—Driver Fitness~~

~~1.4—Controlled Substances/Alcohol~~

~~1.5—Vehicle Maintenance~~

~~1.6—Hazardous Materials (HM) Compliance~~

~~2—The entity shall disclose its percentile in each BASIC for the month ending the most recent reporting period.~~

~~3—The entity may discuss its percentiles in relation to FMCSA's Intervention Thresholds.~~

**Table C9—Proposed revision approach to metric TR-RO-540a.2**

**Revision Approach 4:**

remove the BASIC metric

No internationally recognized equivalent to the US Department of Transportation's Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's (FMCSA) Safety Measurement System (SMS) for Behavior Analysis and Safety Improvement Categories (BASIC) percentiles has been identified. The SMS is unique to the US. The system categorizes carriers, identifies those that may be at higher risk of accident and creates incentives for carriers to manage the specific risk factors captured, analysed and addressed by the FMCSA. Derived solely from US data, BASIC percentile calculations do not apply to non-US jurisdictions. The proposed removal of the BASIC metric would not impair the completeness of the disclosure topic if the other related metrics in the disclosure topic are retained.

NOTE: The SASB Standards' Air Freight & Logistics (TR-AF) and Waste Management (IF-WM) industries contain similar metrics (TR-AF-540a.4 and IF-WM-320a.2). These metrics would be removed similarly to TR-RO-540a.2 to preserve consistency and comparability across the SASB Standards.

**TR-RO-540a.3. (1) Number and (2) aggregate volume of spills and releases to the environment**

1 The entity shall disclose (1) the total number of spills and releases of hazardous material to the environment.

1.1 Hazardous material is ~~defined according to US 49 CFR~~ as a substance or material that an applicable jurisdictional legal or regulatory authority the Secretary of Transportation has determined can pose an unreasonable risk to health, safety and property when transported in commerce (including explosives; radioactive materials; infectious substances; flammable or combustible liquids, solids, or gases; toxic, oxidizing, or corrosive materials; and compressed gases), and has been designated as hazardous in accordance with under section 5103 of federal hazardous materials transportation law ~~(49 U.S.C. 5103)~~.

1.1.1 The scope of hazardous materials includes hazardous substances, hazardous wastes, marine pollutants, elevated temperature materials, materials designated as hazardous by the applicable jurisdictional legal and regulatory framework(s) where the materials were generated.

1.1.2 The entity may use definitions of hazardous waste from the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal.

2 The entity shall disclose (2) the aggregate volume of spills and releases of hazardous material to the environment in cubic metres.

2.1 The volume shall be calculated as the total estimated amount spilled that reached the environment, without netting the amount of such material that was subsequently recovered, evaporated or otherwise lost.

3 A spill that qualifies as a spill to both soil and water shall be reported as a single spill to water, with the volume properly apportioned to soil and water.

4 The entity ~~may~~ additionally may disclose spills to soil and water separately.

4.1 A release that qualifies as a release to both soil and water may be reported as a single release to water, with the volume properly apportioned to soil and water.

5 Where relevant, the entity may ~~disaggregate~~ provide a breakdown of spills and releases by type, such as: (1) hydrocarbons and (2) hazardous substances.

**Table C10—Proposed revision approach to metric TR-RO-540a.3**

**Combined Revision Approaches 1, 2 and 3:**

- 1) revise the metric to reference the Basel Convention to define hazardous material,
- 2) revise the metric by providing a general definition of hazardous materials and
- 3) revise the metric with reference to hazardous material as defined by applicable jurisdictional authorities

- 1) Adding a defining reference for 'hazardous material' using the Basel Convention, which aligns with other, similar SASB Standards metrics is recommended. This option would be provided in case the applicable jurisdiction lacks such definitions.
- 2) The metric would include definitions of types of hazardous materials to provide a general reference for preparers of materials likely to be considered hazardous, but the list could not be broad enough to include all possible classes of hazardous materials across all jurisdictions.
- 3) Most preparers will comply with applicable jurisdictional laws or regulations and their definitions of what materials are hazardous. Aligning the proposed metric with jurisdictional definitions already used by an entity could simplify disclosure and reduce preparer cost.

NOTE: The SASB Standards' Marine Transportation (TR-MT) industry contains a similar metric, TR-MT-160a.3, which would be amended similarly to the proposed amendment to TR-RO-540a.3 to preserve consistency and comparability across the SASB Standards.