

Third Agenda Consultation

IFRS Foundation Trustees
Due Process Oversight Committee (DPOC)

May 2022

Contents

Background	3
Overview of the Request for Information	6
Summary of feedback received and the IASB's response	9
Due process steps	22
Due process comments received	26
Appendices	29

Purpose of this session

- Keep the DPOC informed of the agenda consultation process, including how the IASB expects to respond to the input it has received (*Due Process Handbook* paragraph 4.5).
- Share with the DPOC comments received on the *Due Process Handbook* in feedback on the agenda consultation
- Provide DPOC members an opportunity to provide comments and ask questions before publication of the Feedback Statement at the end of July 2022.



Background

Objective and key dates (1/2)




Objective

To seek views on (paragraph 4.3 of the *Due Process Handbook*):

- 1 the strategic direction and balance of the IASB's activities
- 2 the criteria for assessing the priority of financial reporting issues that could be added to the IASB's work plan
- 3 new financial reporting issues that could be given priority in the IASB's work plan

Before the publication of the Request for Information (RFI) ...



Key dates (2/2)



The IASB's discussions and decisions—see Appendix B for links to all agenda papers

November 2021	December 2021	February 2022	March 2022	April 2022
Feedback summary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feedback summary Decisions on criteria (objective 2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capacity implications of cross-cutting themes (objective 1) Strategic direction and balance of the IASB's activities from 2022 to 2026 (objective 1) Projects on the current work plan—proposed response to feedback (objective 3) 	<p>Joined by ISSB Vice Chair and ISSB and VRF staff</p> <p>Objective 3:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approach to staff analysis of potential projects Proposed shortlisted projects 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Projects to add to the work plan (objective 3) Overview of due process comments



Overview of the Request for Information

IASB's activities and questions asked in the RFI

2 Criteria for assessing the priority of financial reporting issues

- Has the IASB identified the right criteria?
- Should the IASB consider any other criteria?

3 Priority of financial reporting issues that could be added to the work plan

- What priority would you give each of the potential projects described in the RFI?
- Should the IASB add any projects not described in the RFI?

4 Do you have any other comments on the IASB's activities and work plan?

An illustration of the IASB's activities




Chart is not drawn to scale

1 Strategic direction and balance of the IASB's activities

- Should the IASB:
- increase, leave unchanged or decrease its current level of focus for each main activity?
 - undertake any other activities within the current scope of its work?

Key considerations

Stakeholder and IASB capacity expected to remain substantially unchanged

Most capacity will be filled by completing current projects and the required post-implementation reviews


Some capacity set aside for time-sensitive projects

Stakeholders and the IASB have limited capacity to take on new projects

Due to the timing, implications of the creation of the ISSB acknowledged, but not reflected further in the RFI.

Feedback helped shape the IASB's thinking when determining how to prioritise its activities and new projects on its work plan.

The IASB also considered its own experience and expertise in determining its priorities.



Summary of feedback received and the IASB's response

Feedback overview (1/2)




74 outreach events with stakeholders from around 90 jurisdictions




124 comment letters (all available [here](#))

Comment letters by stakeholder type ...



Comment letters by region ...




Feedback overview (2/2)




37 responses to the online survey

By stakeholder type ...



By region ...



Strategic direction and balance of the IASB's activities—feedback and the IASB's response (1/3)



After considering cross-cutting themes, such as implications of connectivity with the International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB), and consistent with stakeholders' feedback, the IASB decided:

- to begin no new activities within the current scope of its work; and
- to leave its current level of focus on its main activities largely unchanged.

Activity	Current level of focus	Current activities	Feedback	IASB's response
New IFRS Accounting Standards and major amendments	40%–45%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • research and standard-setting projects • required post-implementation reviews 	Decrease or leave unchanged	Slightly decrease
Maintenance and consistent application	15%–20%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • monitoring consistent application of Accounting Standards • narrow-scope amendments and interpretations • IFRS Interpretations Committee agenda decisions • educational materials • supporting regulators and national standard-setters in their role to support consistent application 	Increase or leave unchanged	Leave unchanged

Q1

Strategic direction and balance of the IASB's activities—feedback and the IASB's response (2/3)

Activity	Current level of focus	Current activities	Feedback	IASB's response
The <i>IFRS for SMEs</i> Standard	5%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • periodic reviews of the Standard, and if necessary, amendments to the Standard • educational materials 	Leave unchanged	Leave unchanged
Digital financial reporting	5%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • updates to the IFRS Accounting Taxonomy for new or amended Accounting Standards and common reporting practice • educational materials • presentation and disclosure requirements that are 'digital neutral' • commentary in speeches and other discussions • meetings as requested by regulators 	Increase	Slightly increase

Q1

Strategic direction and balance of the IASB's activities—feedback and the IASB's response (3/3)

Activity	Current level of focus	Current activities	Feedback	IASB's response
Understandability and accessibility	5%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> reducing unnecessary complexity for companies, while improving information quality for investors drafting clear Accounting Standards publishing annotated Accounting Standards with cross-references and semi-annual compilations of agenda decisions providing tools for easier navigation of the electronic version of the Accounting Standards 	Increase	Slightly increase (indirect support of maintenance and consistent application)
Stakeholder engagement	20%–25%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> general and project-specific meetings with stakeholders developing tailored materials to support various meetings with stakeholders engagement through comment letters received in response to formal consultation documents 	Leave unchanged	Leave unchanged



Links to agenda papers with detailed feedback summary are included in Appendix B.

Criteria for assessing the priority of financial reporting issues—feedback and the IASB’s response

Stakeholders generally agreed with the IASB’s proposed criteria. They said they would like to understand how the criteria have been applied.

The IASB decided not to make any changes to the list of criteria but to proceed with the list as proposed in the RFI.

The IASB used the criteria to assess the priority of potential projects suggested by respondents (see slides 16-17).

Overarching consideration

whether a potential project will meet investors’ needs, while taking into account the costs of producing the information

- ① the importance of the matter to **investors**
- ② whether there is a **deficiency** in current reporting
- ③ the **type of companies** affected and jurisdictions where the matter is more prevalent
- ④ how **pervasive or acute** the matter is likely to be for companies
- ⑤ the potential project’s **interaction with other projects**
- ⑥ the **complexity and feasibility** of the potential project and its solutions
- ⑦ the **capacity** of the IASB and its stakeholders to progress the potential project



Links to agenda papers with detailed feedback summary are included in Appendix B.

Financial reporting issues that could be added to the IASB's work plan—feedback



- Respondents commented on or suggested many potential projects (approximately 70)—more than possible to undertake, given stakeholders' and the IASB's capacity.
- The IASB should not add too many new projects to the work plan as it will need capacity to deal with emerging issues and interaction with the ISSB.
- Some projects are related and could be worked on at the same time.
- The IASB should work on climate-related risks and pollutant pricing mechanisms (PPM) together with the ISSB.

Some of the most commonly suggested projects (in alphabetical order)

Climate-related risks (incl. PPM)



Cryptocurrencies and related transactions



Going concern disclosures



Intangible assets



Operating segments (highly rated among users)



Statement of cash flows and related matters



Links to agenda papers with detailed feedback summary are included in Appendix B.

The IASB's response—new projects

Projects to start ...

... when resources become available, expected before the next agenda consultation (IASB pipeline)



Intangible assets—this project will aim to comprehensively review IAS 38 *Intangible Assets*.



Statement of cash flows and related matters—as part of its initial work on such a project, the IASB will consider whether the project should aim to comprehensively review IAS 7 *Statement of Cash Flows* or make more targeted improvements.



Climate-related risks—this project will investigate whether, and if so what, narrow-scope actions might be needed in relation to accounting for climate-related risks in the financial statements.

research project pipeline

maintenance project pipeline

... *only if* additional capacity becomes available (reserve list)



Operating segments



Pollutant pricing mechanisms

Q4 Other feedback—projects on the current work plan



Question 4 of the Request for Information asked respondents for any other comments on the IASB's activities and work plan.

Some respondents made general comments about the work plan

Some said the IASB should finalise the projects currently on its work plan before adding new projects.

Some said the IASB should reassess the priority and necessity of all of the projects on its current work plan.

Many respondents commented on individual projects on the current work plan.

Some emphasised the importance of these projects and encouraged the IASB to complete them on a timely basis.

Some suggested the IASB pause or discontinue particular projects.



Links to agenda papers with detailed feedback summary are included in Appendix B.

Q4 IASB's response—projects on the current work plan



The IASB decided not to reprioritise projects on its current work plan.

- Stakeholders have previously identified those projects as priorities.
- Re-prioritising projects could lead to inefficient stops and starts.
- Some projects, such as post-implementation reviews, are required by the *Due Process Handbook*.
- There are natural points within a project's lifecycle at which the IASB considers the project's future direction (reflection points). Many of the projects that respondents suggested should be paused or discontinued have reached or will soon reach a reflection point.



Feedback on specific projects has been shared with the relevant project teams.

Feedback

IASB's response

Connectivity between IASB and ISSB

- Many respondents suggested that connectivity with the ISSB will be an overarching theme for the IASB in the coming years.
- Many of these respondents said the IASB will need to set aside capacity to support connectivity. However, connectivity should not be allowed to affect the IASB's ongoing work on improvements to Accounting Standards.
- Many respondents also said the IASB needs to remain focused on improvements to Accounting Standards and should not undertake projects on sustainability reporting.

- Connectivity represents areas where the IASB and the ISSB would work together to support connected standard-setting and reporting. It is not intended that the IASB would support ISSB-only work (or vice versa).
- The IASB thinks that coordination and resources will be needed to support connectivity with the ISSB, but the IASB does not expect connectivity to dominate the activities of the IASB or its staff.
- We have set aside capacity for connectivity in our planning, with flexibility given uncertainties (see reserve list on slide 17).

Approach to prioritising matters identified in PIRs

- Some respondents provided general observations on the post-implementation review (PIR) process and commented on the upcoming PIRs, including that the IASB should undertake follow-on projects to address issues identified as part of the PIR.
- Some respondents said, in managing stakeholders' expectations, it would be helpful if the IASB clearly set out the objectives of a PIR and explained better what the outcome of a PIR can be.

- At its January 2022 meeting (see [this](#) agenda paper), the IASB discussed its approach to prioritising matters identified in PIRs, including whether and, if so, when to take further actions.
- The IASB has applied this approach to matters identified in the PIR of IFRS 10, 11 and 12 (see AP 2A for this DPOC meeting) and will apply this approach to future PIRs.
- The IASB is planning to communicate that approach on the ifrs.org website (see AP 2B for this DPOC meeting).

Feedback

IASB's response

Flexibility and emerging issues

Many respondents said the IASB should be flexible to changes in financial reporting priorities and should set aside capacity to respond to emerging issues in a timely manner.

- The agenda consultation is the principal means of determining the IASB's work plan, but the IASB can add projects to the work plan or change its priorities between consultations in response to changing circumstances.
- When developing the RFI, the IASB estimated and set aside some capacity for time-sensitive projects that may arise after this agenda consultation.

Partnering further with national standard-setters

- Some respondents said partnering further with national standard-setters (NSS) could help alleviate some of the IASB's capacity constraints.
- Some of these respondents provided suggestions for what that partnering might involve.

- NSS are important partners in the IASB's work to develop a single set of globally accepted Accounting Standards.
- The IASB already has a significant partnering relationship with NSS, including technical collaboration, supporting consistent application and NSS participation in consultative groups.
- The IASB thinks that further partnering with NSS may be best focused on continuing to support the quality of the IASB's work (for example, through feedback and outreach) and expediting the IASB's standard-setting (for example, during the research phase of a project).



Due process steps

Due process steps (1/3)

<i>Due Process Handbook</i> requirement	Action
<p>Paragraph 4.3 The Board undertakes a public consultation on the work plan every five years by way of a public request for information [...].</p> <p>Section 37(d) of the IFRS Foundation Constitution The IASB shall have full discretion in developing and pursuing its technical agenda, subject to [...] carrying out a public consultation every five years from the date of the most recent public agenda consultation.</p>	<p>Published RFI on 30 March 2021 (see Appendix A).</p>
<p>Paragraph 4.3 [...] The Board normally allows a minimum of 120 days for comment on a work plan (agenda) consultation request for information [...].</p>	<p>120-day comment period approved at February 2021 IASB meeting, extended to 180 days at March 2021 IASB meeting.</p>

Due process steps (2/3)

<i>Due Process Handbook</i> requirement continued...	Action
<p>Paragraph 4.4 As part of this public consultation, the Board consults the Advisory Council.</p>	<p>In September 2019, the Advisory Council provided feedback that helped shape the content of the RFI.</p> <p>In May 2021, the Advisory Council provided feedback on the questions in the RFI.</p> <p>In January 2022, the Advisory Council discussed feedback received during the comment period and provided advice on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• the staff’s possible recommendations about the IASB’s strategic direction and balance for the 2022 to 2026;• how to navigate uncertainties relating mainly to the IASB’s interaction with the ISSB; and• how to communicate the IASB’s decisions to its stakeholders.

Due process steps (3/3)

<i>Due Process Handbook</i> requirement continued...	Action
<p>Paragraph 4.5 In line with paragraphs 36(d) and 15(d) of the Constitution, the Board consults with the Trustees regarding the work plan and, through the DPOC, keeps the Trustees informed of its process in respect of its five-yearly consultation, including how it expects to respond to the input it has received [...].</p>	<p>Updated the DPOC on progress in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • October 2019 • February, June, October 2020 • March, June, October 2021 <p>Discussed with the DPOC how the IASB expects to respond to the input it has received in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • March 2022 • May 2022 (this meeting) <p>Discussion with full Trustees in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • September 2020—details of process and content of the RFI • June 2021—Trustees provided feedback on the questions in RFI
<p>Paragraph 4.16 [...] requests for information require the support of a simple majority of the Board, with approval given in a public meeting [...].</p>	<p>The draft RFI was provided to the IASB members for comments. Publication approved at 17 February 2021 IASB meeting</p>



Due process comments
received

Comments on the criteria proposed in the RFI for assessing the priority of financial reporting issues

Feedback



Some respondents suggested including all the proposed criteria in the *Due Process Handbook*.



A few other respondents suggested the IASB clarify the link between the proposed criteria and the criteria set out in the *Due Process Handbook*.




A user organisation and an individual provided some other comments.

Action

- ✓ The IASB discussed these comments at its meeting in December 2021 (see [Agenda Paper 24B](#)).
- ✓ The agenda paper was shared with the DPOC by email in December 2021 and included in [Agenda Paper 1B](#) for the March 2022 DPOC meeting.

Other due-process-related comments




¹Similar comments about agenda decisions were made when the DPOC consulted on revisions to the *Due Process Handbook* in 2019. The DPOC's responses to those comments are summarised on pages 7–12 of this [Feedback Summary](#).

A grayscale world map is centered in the background. Overlaid on the map are several thick, light gray curved lines that sweep across the frame from the bottom left towards the top right. A network of thin, dotted lines is also visible, connecting various points across the map, suggesting a global or interconnected theme.

Appendices

Appendix A—Published materials




March 2021
International Accounting Standards Board

Request for Information Third Agenda Consultation

Comments to be received by 27 September 2021

IASB IFRS

[Request for Information](#)



Third Agenda Consultation IASB consults on a new approach to disclosure requirements IFRS Taxonomy 2021

WE WANT YOUR FEEDBACK

Third Agenda Consultation
The Board is seeking views on what its priorities should be for 2022–2026

[Press release](#)



Third Agenda Consultation—an overview

Request for Information published by the International Accounting Standards Board

IFRS



March 2021
Investor Perspectives

The International Accounting Standards Board's Agenda Consultation—An Opportunity for Investors to Weigh In

Zach Gatt
Zach Gatt, a member of the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB), urges investors to lend their voice to the Board's agenda consultation on its strategic work plan.

The Board is asking investors to provide feedback on its Third Agenda Consultation to assist in prioritizing the Board's work from 2022 to 2026. The Board would like to hear from investors on the strategic direction and balance of its activities—for example, how much time it should spend on developing new IFRS Standards compared with that spent on its other activities, such as supporting consistent application of the Standards. The Board would also like investors to comment on the Board's criteria for adding projects to its work plan and on which financial reporting issues the Board could add to that plan. It is essential that investors provide feedback to inform the Board's work.

Find out more
The Board recently published a Request for Information on its Third Agenda Consultation. You can find the supporting documents for the consultation on our website here.

Send us your views
The consultation period ends on 27 September 2021. Investors can submit a comment letter (up to 2,000 words), if you are unable to submit a comment letter you can also complete a survey, brief facts, that should take 30–40 minutes depending on the level of detail in your responses.

IFRS

[Videos and articles](#)

How to comment

- Submit a comment letter:
 - ✓ Online at: <https://www.ifrs.org/projects/open-for-comment/>
 - ✓ By email to: commentletters@ifrs.org
- Complete a survey: <https://ecv.microsoft.com/sDvxcZ9hrt>

Appendix B—Agenda papers for the IASB’s meetings (1/2)

IASB meeting	Agenda Papers
September 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <u>Proposed approach to developing the Request for Information</u>
October 2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <u>Strategic direction and balance of the Board’s activities</u>• <u>Criteria for assessing projects to be added to the work plan</u>• <u>Approach to the Board’s current projects</u>• <u>Financial reporting issues to be included in the Request for Information</u>
November 2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <u>Considering timing of post-implementation reviews as part of the 2020 Agenda Consultation</u>
February 2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <u>Due process steps and permission to publish the Request for Information</u>
November 2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <u>Feedback summary - Overview</u>• <u>Feedback Summary - Strategic direction and balance of the Board’s activities</u>• <u>Feedback summary - Criteria for assessing the priority of financial reporting issues</u>• <u>Feedback summary - Potential projects (part 1)</u>• <u>Feedback summary - Potential projects (part 2)</u>• <u>Feedback summary - Potential projects (part 3)</u>• <u>Feedback summary - Users of financial statements</u>

Appendix B—Agenda papers for the IASB’s meetings (2/2)

IASB meeting	Agenda Papers
December 2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback summary - Other comments • Criteria for assessing the priority of financial reporting issues to be added to the work plan
January 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approach to prioritising matters arising from post-implementation reviews
February 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Projects on the current work plan—Proposed response to feedback • Availability of a Refund (Amendments to IFRIC 14)—Project review • Provisions—Targeted Improvements—Project review • Cross-cutting themes—Capacity implications • Strategic direction and balance of the IASB’s activities from 2022 to 2026
March 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential projects—Approach to staff analysis • Potential projects—Proposed short-listed projects • Potential projects—Other projects described in the Request for Information • Potential projects—Other suggestions • Potential projects—Feedback summary for proposed short-listed projects
April 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Projects to add to the work plan • Overview of due process comments

Find us online



www.ifrs.org



IFRS Foundation | International Accounting Standards Board



@IFRSFoundation



IFRS Foundation



IFRS Foundation

Join our team: go.ifrs.org/careers