A Roadmap for Convergence between IFRSs and US GAAP—2006-2008 Memorandum of Understanding between the FASB and the IASB 27 February 2006

After their joint meeting in September 2002, the US Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) and the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) issued their Norwalk Agreement in which they 'each acknowledged their commitment to the development of high quality, compatible accounting standards that could be used for both domestic and cross-border financial reporting. At that meeting, the FASB and the IASB pledged to use their best efforts (a) to make their existing financial reporting standards fully compatible as soon as is practicable and (b) to co-ordinate their future work programmes to ensure that once achieved, compatibility is maintained.'

At their meetings in April and October 2005, the FASB and the IASB reaffirmed their commitment to the convergence of US generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP) and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs). A common set of high quality global standards remains the long-term strategic priority of both the FASB and the IASB.

The FASB and the IASB recognise the relevance of the roadmap for the removal of the need for the reconciliation requirement for non-US companies that use IFRSs and are registered in the United States. It has been noted that the removal of this reconciliation requirement would depend on, among other things, the effective implementation of IFRSs in financial statements across companies and jurisdictions, and measurable progress in addressing priority issues on the IASB-FASB convergence programme. Therefore, the ability to meet the objective set out by the roadmap depends upon the efforts and actions of many parties—including companies, auditors, investors, standard-setters and regulators.

The FASB and the IASB recognise that their contribution to achieving the objective regarding reconciliation requirements is continued and measurable progress on the FASB-IASB convergence programme. Both boards have affirmed their commitment to making such progress. Recent discussions by the FASB and the IASB regarding their approach to the convergence programme indicated agreement on the following guidelines:

- Convergence of accounting standards can best be achieved through the development of high quality, common standards over time.
- Trying to eliminate differences between two standards that are in need of significant improvement is not the best use of the FASB's and the IASB's resources—instead, a new common standard should be developed that improves the financial information reported to investors.
- Serving the needs of investors means that the boards should seek to converge by replacing weaker standards with stronger standards.

Consistently with those guidelines, and after discussions with representatives of the European Commission and the SEC staff, the FASB and the IASB have agreed to work towards the following goals for the IASB-FASB convergence programme by 2008:

Short-term convergence

The goal by 2008 is to reach a conclusion about whether major differences in the following few focused areas should be eliminated through one or more short-term standard-setting projects and, if so, complete or substantially complete work in those areas.

Topics for **short-term convergence** include:

To be examined by the FASB	To be examined by the IASB
Fair value option*	Borrowing costs
Impairment (jointly with the IASB)	Impairment (jointly with the FASB)
Income tax (jointly with the IASB)	Income tax (jointly with the FASB)
Investment properties**	Government grants
Research and development	Joint ventures
Subsequent events	Segment reporting
FASB Note:	IASB Note:
*On the active agenda at 1 July 2005	Topics are part of or to be added to the IASB's
** To be considered by the FASB as part of	short-term convergence project, which is
the fair value option project	already on the agenda.

Limiting the number of short-term convergence projects enables the boards to focus on major areas for which the current accounting practices of US GAAP and IFRSs are regarded as candidates for improvement.

Other joint projects

The goal by 2008 is to have made significant progress on joint projects in areas identified by both boards where current accounting practices of US GAAP and IFRSs are regarded as candidates for improvement.

The FASB and the IASB also note that it is impractical, when factoring in the need for research, deliberation, consultation and due process, to complete many of the other **joint projects** by 2008. The two boards understand that during this time frame measurable progress on such projects, rather than their completion, would fulfil their contribution to meeting the objective set forth in the roadmap.

Furthermore, it is noted that the strategy regarding other joint projects and the goals described below should be consistent with one of the IASB's objectives of providing stability of its standards for users and preparers in the near term.

After consultations with representatives of the European Commission and the SEC staff and consistently with existing priorities and resources, the FASB and the IASB have expressed the progress they expect to achieve on their convergence project in the form of a list of 11 areas of focus. It is noted that these projects will occur in the context of the ongoing joint work of the FASB and the IASB on their respective Conceptual Frameworks. As part of their Conceptual Framework project, the FASB and the IASB will be addressing issues relating to the range of measurement attributes (including cost and fair value) to enable a public discussion on these topics to begin in 2006.

After considering the complexity of those topics and consultation requirements, the boards set the following goals for 2008 for convergence topics already on either their active agendas or the research programmes:

То	Topics already on an Active Agenda				
Convergence		Current status on	Current status on	Progress expected to be	
topic		the FASB Agenda	the IASB Agenda	achieved by 2008	
1.	Business combinations	On agenda – deliberations in process	On agenda – deliberations in process	To have issued converged standards (projected for 2007), the contents and effective dates of which to be determined after taking full account of comments received in response to the Exposure Drafts.	
2.	Consolidations	On agenda – currently inactive	On agenda – no publication yet	To implement work aimed at the completed development of converged standards as a matter of high priority.	
3.	Fair value measurement guidance	Completed standard expected in the first half of 2006	On agenda – deliberations in process	To have issued converged guidance aimed at providing consistency in the application of existing fair value requirements. ¹	
4.	Liabilities and equity distinctions	On agenda – no publication yet	On agenda (will follow FASB's lead)	To have issued one or more due process documents relating to a proposed standard.	
5.	Performance reporting	On agenda – no publication yet	Exposure draft on a first phase	To have issued one or more due process documents on the full range of topics in this project.	
6.	Post- retirement benefits (including pensions)	On agenda – deliberations underway on the first phase of multi-phase project	Not yet on the agenda	To have issued one or more due process documents relating to a proposed standard.	
7.	Revenue recognition	On agenda – no publication yet	On agenda – no publication yet	To have issued one or more due process documents relating to a proposed comprehensive standard.	

The objective of the goals set out above is to provide a time frame for convergence efforts in the context of both the objective of removing the need for IFRS reconciliation requirements by 2009 and the existing agendas of the FASB and the IASB. The FASB and the IASB will follow their normal due process when adding items to the agenda. Items designated as convergence topics among the existing research programmes of the boards include:

То	Topics already being researched, but not yet on an Active Agenda				
Со	nvergence	Current status on	Current status on	Progress expected to be	
topic		the FASB Agenda	the IASB Agenda	achieved by 2008	
1.	Derecognition	Currently in the pre-	On research agenda	To have issued a due process	
		agenda research		document relating to the results of staff research efforts.	
2.	Financial	On research agenda	On research agenda	To have issued one or more due	
2.	instruments	and working group	and working group	process documents relating to the	
	(replacement	established	established	accounting for financial instruments.	
	of existing				
	standards)				

_

¹ The fair value guidance measurement project will not extend requirements for the use of fair value measurements, and any proposals regarding increasing the use of fair value accounting will be addressed in the context of the Conceptual Framework and other projects on the FASB's and IASB's respective agendas.

3.	Intangible	Not yet on agenda	On research agenda	To have considered the results of the
	assets		(led by a national	IASB's research project and made a
			standard-setter)	decision about the scope and timing
				of a potential agenda project.
4.	Leases	Pre-agenda research	On research agenda	To have considered and made a
		underway	(led by a national	decision about the scope and timing
			standard-setter)	of a potential agenda project.

In setting out the projects for both the short-term convergence topics and the major joint topics, the FASB and the IASB recognise that with respect to its foreign registrants the SEC staff will undertake an analysis of their 2005 IFRS financial statements across companies and jurisdictions. This analysis may reveal the need for additional standard-setting actions by one of the boards or both. Furthermore, the FASB and the IASB note that their work programmes are not limited to the items listed above, but remain committed to fulfilling their contribution to meeting the objectives set out by the roadmap.

The FASB and the IASB also recognise the need to undertake this work in a manner that is consistent with their established due process, including consultation with interested parties on their ongoing joint efforts before reaching conclusions.